# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: AR

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL		2. DATE SUBMI <b>7/14/2005</b>	TTED	APPLICANT II	DENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3. DATE RECEI	VED BY STATE	STATE APPLI	CATION IDENTIFIER		
Application Pre-ap	plication						
Construction	onstruction	4. DATE RECEI	VED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDE	:NTIFIER		
Non-Construction	on-Construction						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION							
Legal Name: Arkansas		Organizational	Unit: f Health and Human Services				
Address (give city, county, state and zip	code)	Name and tele	phone number of the person to	be contacted on n	natters involving this		
4815 West Markham, Slot 41		application (given Name: Richard	/e area code) d Nugent, MD & Nancy Holder	(501) 661 2277			
Little Rock, AR 72205 County: Pulaski		Tel Number: (5		` ,			
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMB	ER (EIN):	7. TYPE OF A	PPLICANT: (Enter appropriate I	etter in box) A			
7 1 6 0 0	7 3 5	A. State B. County	H. Independent School Dis I. State Controlled Institution		ina		
		C. Municiple D. Township	J. Private University K. Indian Tribe	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		E. Interstate	L. Individual ple M. Profit Organization				
			strict N. Other (Specify)				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:			EDERAL AGENCY:	tion Motornal on	d Child Hookh Burgou		
New Continuation Revision	n	Health Resou	rces and Services Administra	tion, waternai an	d Child Health Bureau		
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in I A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Decrease Duration Other (specify):	Doox(es)						
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTION NUMBER:	C ASSISTANCE	II	TIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S F				
9394				<del>.</del>			
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Serv	ices Block Grant						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (		1					
states, etc.): State							
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:					
Start Date: Ending Da 10/01/2005 09/30/2006		a. Applicant All Districts o	f Arkansas		b. Project Same		
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	16. IS APPLICAT	ION SUBJECT	TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECU	JTIVE ORDER 12	372 PROCESS?		
a. Federal \$ 7,483,501		REAPPLICATIO	N/APPLICATION WAS MADE A	VAILABLE TO TH	IE STATE EXECUTIVE		
b. Applicant \$ 1,071,978	DATE:						
c. State \$ 7,003,381	b. NO PRO	GRAM IS NOT	COVERED BY E.O. 12372				
<u> </u>		PROGRAM HAS	NOT BEEN SELECTED BY ST	ATE FOR REVIE	N		
<u> </u>	.00						
f Program	=						
Income \$ 15,247,734							
g. TOTAL \$ 30,806,594	0011 —	ICANT DELINQ , attach an expla	UENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEB anation Mo	Т			
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDG DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHO ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASS	RIZED BY THE GOVE	ERNING BODY					
a. Typed Name of Authorized Represent Paul K. Halverson, DrPH	ative		b. Title Interim Director	c. Telephone N (501-661-2400			
d. Signature of Authorized Representativ	е			e. Date Signed			

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

Form	ı 2			
MCH BUDGET DETA		Y 2006		
[Secs. 504 (d) and				
STATE:	AK			
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	7,483,501
A.Preventive and primary care for children:				
\$4,066,144 (54.33 %)				
B.Children with special health care needs:				
\$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	cation)[Sec. 505	5(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:		λ(Δ)(Ο)]		
\$428,392 ( <u>5.72</u> %)				
(The above figure cannot be more than 10% )[Sec. 504(d)]				
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	1,071,978
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	7,003,381
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	15,247,734
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 5,797,136			\$	22,251,115
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PA	RTNERSI	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	30,806,594
(Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	LIVE	iii (GGBTGTAL)	Φ	30,000,394
<b>9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS</b> (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the T	itle V program)			
a. SPRANS:	\$	0		
b. SSDI:	\$	97,871		
c. CISS:	\$	99,062		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	679,837		
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0		
f. EMSC:	\$	0		
g. WIC:	\$	75,140,509		
h. AIDS:	\$	0		
i. CDC:	\$	1,021,299		
j. Education:	\$	0		
k. Other:				
Title X Fam Plan	<b>¢</b>	3,421,593		
THE ATT MATERIAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$\$	0, 121,000		
40 OTHER EEDERAL EUROS SOURCES	~		\$	80,460,171
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item	9)		Φ	
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	111,266,765

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2	2006		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED		
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$7,808,811	\$5,550,419	\$7,666,081	\$0	\$	\$0		
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,042,869	\$ 1,350,216	\$ 58,407	\$0	\$1,071,978	\$0		
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$	\$5,272,465	\$	\$0	\$7,003,381	\$0		
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$17,486,955	\$ 13,089,886	\$15,264,743	\$0	\$15,247,734	\$0		
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$33,831,514	\$ 25,262,986	\$30,421,208	\$0	\$ 30,806,594	\$0		
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)			
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$ 78,385,318	\$0	\$ 80,460,171	\$0		
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$103,902,377	\$ 101,909,482	\$ 108,806,526	\$0	\$111,266,765	\$0		
			(STATE MCH B	JDGET TOTAL)				

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY :	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2	2003				
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED				
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$7,581,008	\$	\$	\$6,177,329	\$	\$7,098,691				
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,087,144	\$ 1,478,969	\$ 1,419,073	\$ 393,065	\$ 1,848,291	\$1,358,347				
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$	\$6,229,120	\$	\$8,008,893	\$9,070,581	\$				
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$1,109,552	\$ 823,353	\$990,686	\$ 552,114	\$1,006,587	\$ 46,669				
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$ 14,252,150	\$ 13,728,095	\$16,066,872	\$ 15,268,452	\$ 14,598,020	\$ 15,420,453				
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 31,416,933	\$ 29,328,898	\$ 33,377,607	\$ 30,399,853	\$ 34,420,129	\$ 31,078,443				
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)					
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$	\$ 3,897,252	\$ 5,439,600	\$4,641,860	\$ 70,397,147	\$ 77,155,170				
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 39,404,106	\$ 33,226,150	\$38,817,207	\$ 35,041,713	\$104,817,276	\$ 108,233,613				
		(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)								

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2003.

Children's Services eligibility criteria changes, both financial and age eligibility, resulted in decreased caseloads. This coupled with prolonged electronic billing problems for providers resulted in decreased spending.

providers resulted in decreased spending

Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

Children's Medical Services eligibility changes (both financial and age eligibility) resulted in decreased caseloads. This coupled with prolonged electronic billing problems for providers resulted in decreased spending.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2003.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Decreased expenditures for ADH clinical services reduced expenditures and increased carry-over for FY'04.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2003.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Contract with Arkansas Department of Education for Comprehensive School Health. Expired in 2003.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Children's Services budgeted for program income via case management billing to Medicaid in the amount of \$2.5 million. The actual amount received from Medicaid for case management was \$1.2 million. Budget adjustments due to the decreased revenue were required in the form of changes in eligibility criteria.

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditures over budget largely the result of increased WIC allocation.

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds

Column Name: Expended Year: 2004 Field Note: Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 5,085,58	8 \$ 3,141,347	\$4,514,745	\$0	\$ 4,225,810	\$0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$2,354,24	1 \$ 1,424,536	\$3,344,598	\$0	\$2,709,697	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 4,329,99	8 4,707,209	\$ 2,952,443	\$0	\$ 4,066,144	\$0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$7,768,68	3,714,310	\$7,304,839	\$0	\$ 6,015,851	\$ <u> </u>	
e. Others	\$13,190,95	2 \$ 10,971,983	\$11,484,030	\$0	\$ 12,287,935	\$0	
f. Administration	\$1,102,05	\$ 1,303,601	\$ 820,553	\$0	\$1,501,157	\$0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 33,831,514	\$25,262,986	\$30,421,208	\$0	\$30,806,594	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	control of the person	responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$ 800,000	1	\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000	Ī	\$104,471		\$ 97,871		
c. CISS	\$0	Ī	\$142,817		\$ 99,062		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 600,000	Ī	\$ 600,004		\$ 679,837		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 64,131,226	7	\$72,857,861		\$ 75,140,509		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$ 848,955	1	\$1,114,042		\$ 1,021,299		
j. Education	\$0	7	\$0		\$0		
k.Other	]	_					
Title X Fam Plan	\$0		\$0		\$ 3,421,593		
CMS Medical Home	\$0		\$3,240,558		\$0		
HRSA	\$ 335,886		\$325,565		\$0		
Title X	\$ 3,254,796		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 70,070,863	7	\$ 78,385,318		\$ 80,460,171		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	200	1		FY 2	200	2	FY 2003			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	But	OGETED	Ex	(PENDED	Bu	JDGETED	Ex	PENDED	Bu	DGETED	Ex	PENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	5,433,809	\$	4,987,259	\$	5,750,236	\$	5,348,845	\$	5,857,493	\$	4,821,538
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	2,883,487	\$	2,329,199	\$	2,499,542	\$	2,291,282	\$	2,940,523	\$	3,327,735
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	4,106,530	\$_	4,255,630	\$_	4,450,840	\$	4,099,713	\$_	5,252,656	\$_	3,095,566
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	5,907,982	\$	7,835,333	\$_	8,137,712	\$	7,385,654	\$	9,134,913	\$	7,352,672
e. Others	\$	11,736,394	\$	8,644,725	\$	11,277,823	\$	10,242,066	\$	9,840,289	\$	11,875,532
f. Administration	\$	1,348,731	\$	1,276,752	\$	1,261,454	\$	1,032,333	\$	1,394,255	\$	605,400
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	31,416,933	\$	29,328,898	\$_	33,377,607	\$	30,399,893	\$	34,420,129	\$	31,078,443
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	contro	I of the person re	espo	onsible for admini	stra	tion of the Title V	prog	gram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	77,064			\$	800,000			\$	800,000		
b. SSDI	\$	98,342			\$	100,000			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	660,004			\$	660,004			\$	660,004		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	64,026,044		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	596,589			\$	804,200			\$	770,614		
j. Education	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
k.Other	]											
Healthy ChildCare America	\$	0			\$	0			\$	139,175		
TBI State Grant	\$	0			\$	0			\$	74,756		
Title X	\$	2,897,348			\$	3,075,396			\$	3,676,816		
Universal Newborn Scr.	\$	0			\$	0			\$	149,738		
Medicaid PA Contract	\$	3,400,000			\$_	0			\$	0		
Respite Waiver	\$	257,826			\$_	0			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	7,987,173	1		Φ.	5,439,600			¢.	70,397,147		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Decreased caseload, resulting from more women seeking prenatal care from private providers, resulted in lower expenditures for direct and enabling care to pregnant women.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Movement away from EPSDT and well-child clinic services resulted in lower expenditures to ages 1-22. Concentration on immunizations moved the majority of child health activity to under 1 age group. As activities in Infant Hearing and Vision became more targeted to population-based screening, activities moved toward infants, away from

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children 1 22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Movement away from EPSDT and well-child clinic services resulted in lower expenditures to ages 1-22. Concentration on immunizations moved the majority of child health activity to under 1 age group. As activities in Infant Hearing and Vision became more targeted to population-based screening, activities moved toward infants, away from older children.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

This is the year that CMS transitioned within the Department of Human Services Division of Medical Services to the Division of Developmental Disabilities. Due to decreased program revenue from Medicaid reimbursement for case management, program eligibility criteria was changed by lowering financial eligibility to 185% of the Federal Poverty Level and lowering age eligibility from 21 years to 18 years. This decreased the expenditures.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

FY 2004 budgeted amount of \$7,768,682 for CSHCN was an over-budgeted amount. This amount included a budget of program income in the amount of \$2.5 million for case management reimbursement from Medicaid. The actual amount received as program income was \$1.4 million. The budgeted amount for state service money received was \$2.4 million. The actual amount received was \$1.7 million. During this time period the program also did not fill many positions that were vacated. The costs for those positions remained in the budgeted amount causing a higher budget than was actually paid in salary and fringe

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

As other revenue sources declined, more emphasis was placed on Title X and Medicaid Family Planning Services. Expenditures moved to the larger revenue sources.

10. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note: Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

11. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

As revenue declined, and positions were vacant and not refilled, administrative expenses declined markedly from previous years. Also, the reorganization had the effect of many staff changing to state budgets that are not connected directly to MCH activities.

12. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Declining caseloads in ADH Local Health Units resulted in decreased spending in FY2004.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 21,295,516	\$ 12,497,022	\$ 13,026,981	\$0	\$ 13,231,720	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 6,751,809	\$6,003,193	\$9,674,865	\$0	\$9,393,357	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$	\$5,136,604	\$ 5,561,127	\$0	\$ 5,902,853	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$3,685,519	\$1,626,167	\$2,158,235	\$0	\$2,278,664	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$33,831,514	\$25,262,986	\$30,421,208	\$0	\$30,806,594	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 22,293,830	\$ 18,006,112	\$	\$19,527,771	\$ 22,522,135	\$14,692,807	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$4,520,115	\$	\$	\$5,869,913	\$7,451,770	\$8,912,127	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 1,322,267	\$1,103,493	\$1,289,171	\$1,856,203	\$1,290,422	\$5,642,760	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$3,280,721	\$2,676,298	\$3,095,968	\$3,145,966	\$3,155,802	\$1,830,749	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$31,416,933	\$29,328,898	\$33,377,607	\$30,399,853	\$34,420,129	\$31,078,443	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling services and population-based services. Also, numbers are affected by caseload declines across programs in FY 2003.

Movement of state match away from well-child clinics to immunization clinics moved nearly \$3 million in expenditures from direct services to population-based services.

ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously been counted as direct services. This included surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services, as opposed to the direct provision of health care services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about forty percent of staff time for maternity to enabling.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling and population services, as emphasis has shifted away from direct provision of health care. ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously counted as direct services. This included surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about 40 percent of time for maternity to enabling.

Expenditures declined proportionately to clinic caseload declines.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling services and population-based services. Also, numbers are affected by caseload declines across programs in FY 2003.

Movement of state match away from well-child clinics to immunization clinics moved nearly \$3 million in expenditures from direct services to population-based services.

ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously been counted as direct services. This included surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services, as opposed to the direct provision of health care services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about forty percent of staff time for maternity to enabling.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling and population services, as emphasis has shifted away from direct provision of health care. ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously counted as direct services. This included surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about 40 percent of time for maternity to enabling.

Expenditures declined proportionately to clinic caseload declines.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling services and population-based services. Also, numbers are affected by caseload declines across programs in FY 2003.

Movement of state match away from well-child clinics to immunization clinics moved nearly \$3 million in expenditures from direct services to population-based services.

ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously been counted as direct services. This included surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services, as opposed to the direct provision of health care services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about forty percent of staff time for maternity to enabling.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling and population services, as emphasis has shifted away from direct provision of health care. ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously counted as direct services. This included surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about 40 percent of time for maternity to enabling.

Expenditures declined proportionately to clinic caseload declines.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

#### Field Note:

Expenditures for infrastructure-building at ADH were less than expected because, as revenue declined, staff performing infrastructure-building activites (Hometown Health Initiative, in particular) were moved to state budgets that could not be captured as MCH-related. These activities are ongoing, but cannot be captured as part of the MCH budget at this time.

#### 8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

ADH has made a concerted effort to redefine budget to distinguish direct services from enabling and population services, as emphasis has shifted away from direct provision of health care. ADH identified expenditures for health education and other enabling services that had previously counted as direct services. This inincluded surveying staff regarding the amount of time expended in family planning and maternity offices visits that was directed toward health education and other enabling services. This moved about one-third of staff time for family planning and about 40 percent of time for maternity to enabling.

Expenditures declined proportionately to clinic caseload declines.

FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF	NEWBORNS AN	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CA	SES CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED					
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
STATE: AR											
Total Births by Occurrence: 37,573 Reporting Year: 2004											
				,,							
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	([ Needing Tre Received T						
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	37,342	99.4	4		1 1	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	37,342	99.4	680	1	13	100					
Galactosemia	37,342	99.4	67		0 0						
Sickle Cell Disease	37,342	99.4	28		28 27	96.4					
Other Screening	(Specify)										
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)							
(1) Use occurrent (2) Report only tho (3) Use number of	se from resident l	oirths.									

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main Field Name: BirthOccurence

Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence

Field Note:

Data are for FFY 2004

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of Presumptive Positive Screens and the number of Confirmed Cases are the same due to confirmatory electrophoresis results were also positive.

Section Number: Main Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_TreatmentNo Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment Year: 2006

Field Note:

The number of Presumptive Positive Screens and the number of Confirmed Cases are the same due to confirmatory electrophoresis results were also positive.

## Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: AR

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	'ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	15,605	85.1	0.0			14.9
Infants < 1 year old	37,342	68.7	2.1			29.2
Children 1 to 22 years old	368,479	51.5	16.3			32.2
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	16,605	74.3	4.5	12.3	0.0	8.9
Others	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	438,031					
		•				

Data not yet received

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

I. Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: ADH, Encounter information system

 Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_XIX Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

 Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of births by occurrence in Arkansas in the year.

 Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_XIX Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note: Numerator:

Source: Dept Human Services

Definition: # Medicaid (EPSDT) eligibles

SFY 04 - 25225 CY 04 - 26048 AVE - 25637 Denominator:

Source: Ark Dept of Health Definition: # Births by occurrence

FFY - 04 - 37342

Calculation: 25637 \*100/37342 = 68.7

. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_XXI Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note: Numerator:

Definition: # Infants enrolled (elligible) in SCHIP

Source: DHS, Medicaid

Number: 793

Denominator:

Definition: all infants under 1 (births be occurrence)

Number: 37,342

Calculation: 793\*100/37,342 = 2.11 or 2

S. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_TS
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Ark. Dept Human Serv. FFY 04

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_XIX
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note: Numerator:

Data source: Dept. Human Services

Definition: # children 1-22 enrolled in Medicaid (AR Kids A)

for FFY 04 - 368,479

Denominator:

Data source: US Census Estimate

Average of 3 years' percentages 2001-2003

Accessed on web 7/1/2005

716,000

Calculation: 368,479/716,000 = 51.5%

8. Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: Children's Medical Services Program, DHS

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_XIX

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Children's Medical Services Program, DHS

10. Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_XXI

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

11. Section Number: Main
Field Name: CSHCN\_None
Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: None %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percentage of CSHCN without any other source of healthcare coverage other than Title V CSHCN is very small and came to .003%.

12. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers\_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Women 15-44 served in Family Planning whose nurse practitioners in the Health Department received some Title V funding

Data Source: ADH Family Planning Program,

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: AR

Reporting Year: 2004

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	37,573	29,253	6,900	314	162	414		530		
Title V Served	15,605	8,791	4,171	31	133	1		2,478		
Eligible for Title XIX	13,308	8,189	4,009	26	72	1		1,011		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	37,285	28,848	7,119	217	161	414		526		
Title V Served	37,285	28,848	7,119	217	161	414		526		
Eligible for Title XIX	24,911	19,395	4,575	208	107	274		352		

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)						
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	34,062	3,379	132	2,840	8	32	474	25		
Title V Served	13,192	2,413	94	2,028	6	23	338	18		
Eligible for Title XIX	12,322	986	39	829	2	9	138	8		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	33,727	3,374	184	2,835	9	34	475	21		
Title V Served	33,727	3,374	184	2,835	9	34	475	21		
Eligible for Title XIX	23,320	1,591	87	1,337	4	16	224	10		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note: Births and stillbirths

2. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_RaceOther

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Because Hispanics are listed in state data as if they were a race, the Hispanic number is considered race unknown, and is added to the other and unknown counts for race.

 Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX\_RaceOther

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX
Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Because Hispanics are listed in state data as if they were a race, the Hispanic number is considered race unknown, and is added to the other and unknown counts for race.

4. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_White Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: White

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimate:

There were 24911 infants enrolled in Medicaid, but race breakout is not available. Therefore it is assumed that the race breakout of the infants is the same as the overall

Calculation: Title V Whilte deliveries divided by Title V total deliveries times 24911

5. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_NotReported
Row Name: Title V Served
Column Name: Ethnicity Not Reported

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimate:

Since countries of origin not reported in Encounter system, distribution of Hispanic Title V deliveries by country of origin is assumed to be the same as the distribution for Hispanic deliveries (live births).

Calculation: # deliveries for a country (or not reported) divided by # total Hispanic deliveries times total # Title V Hispanic deliveries.

6. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimated as follows

\_ . . \_ \_ . . . .

Data Item Source Number Total Medicaid enrollment, all children DHS 417,373 Hispanic enrollment, all children DHS 26,686 Percent Hispanic among all children 6.39 Total Infants enrolled in Medicaid DHS 24,911

Assuming Hispanic infants are proportional

to Hispanic older children

Calculation: # Hispanic infants: 24,911 x .0639 = 1591 # non-Hispanic infants 24,911- 1591 = 23320

7. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_NotReported Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Ethnicity Not Reported

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimation:

Data used: # with Ethnicity not reported of Title V served births (184)

# Title V served Hispanics (3374)

# Hispanic Medicaid enrollees (1591), estimated

Calculation: 184/3374\*1591 = 86.8 or 87

8. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_Mexican Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2006

Field Note: Estimation:

\_ . . . . . . \_ . .

Data used: # Mexican Tite V supported births (2835) # Total Hispanic Title V supported births (3374) # Hispanic Title XIX enrolees (est.)

Calculation: 2835/3374\*1591= 1336.8 or 1337

9. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_Cuban Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Cuban

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimation:

Data used: # Cuban Hispanics from Title V births (9) # Hispanic births from Title V births (3374)

# Total Hispanics enrolled in Medicaid, est. (1591)

Calculation: 9/3374\*1591 = 4.2 or 4

10. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

**Field Name:** InfantsTitleXIX\_PuertoRican **Row Name:** Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimate:

Data used: # Puerto Rican Hispanics, Title V births (34) # Total Hispanic Title V births (3374)

# Total Hispanic Medicaid infants (1591), estimated

Calculation: 34/3374\*1591 = 16.0 0r 16

 Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_CentralAmerican Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Central and South American

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimated:

Data used: # Central/South Am Title V births (475)

# Total Hispanic Title V births (3374)

# Total Hispanic enrolled in Medicaid (1591), est.

Calculation: 475/3374\*1591= 223.98 or 224

12. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_EthnicityOther

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimation:

Data used: # Other/unk Hispanic Title V births (21)

# Total Title V Hispanic births (3374) # Medicaid enrolled births (1591), est.

Calculation: 21/3374\*1591 = 9.9 or 10

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AR

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 232-0002	(800) 232-0002	(800) 232-0002	(800) 235-0002	(800) 235-0002
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	AR Resource and Health Information	AR Resource and Health Information	AR Resource and Health Information	MCH Information Line	MCH Information Line
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Carolyn McKinstry	Carolyn McKinstry	Carolyn McKinstry	Carolyn Kay	Carolyn Kay
Contact Person's     Telephone Number	(501) 661-2029	(501) 661-2029	(501) 661-2029	(501) 661-2029	(501) 661-2029
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		14,673	16,614	15,700

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AR

FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
0	0	0	0	0

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: calls\_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 24-hour MCH 1-800 Line continues to operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Callers received a wide range of resource information about specific campaigns and within ADH programs such as, The Healthy Arkansas Initiative, The Infant Hearing Program, and Stamp Out Smoking (SOS) The MCH Information Line responded to 14, 673 in FY 2004.

# FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [Sec. 506(A)(1)] STATE: AR

1. State MCH Administration: (max 2500 characters)	
Block Grant Funds	
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$7,483,501
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 1,071,978
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 7,003,381
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$15,247,734
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 30,806,594
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	
a. Pregnant Women	15,605
b. Infants < 1 year old	37,342
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	368,479
d. CSHCN	16,605
e. Others	0
11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:	
a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services: (max 2500 characters)	
b. Population-Based Services: (max 2500 characters)	
c. Infrastructure Building Services:	
(max 2500 characters)	
12. The primary Title V Program contact person:	13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:
Name	Name
Title	Title
Address	Address
City	City
State	State
Zip	Zip
Phone	Phone
Fax	Fax
Email	Email
Web	Web

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### **FORM 11** TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AR

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	90	92	92	98	98
Annual Indicator	89.0	100.0	92.7	98.2	97.6
Numerator	65	48	38	54	41
Denominator	73	48	41	55	42
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	98	98	98	98	98
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futu		bove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual O	bjective and Perforn	nance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				53	77
Annual Indicator			52.4	76.8	53.7
Numerator					12,952
Denominator					24,116
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
				_	

		Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	55	56	57	58	59

Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03							
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compret	hensive care within a	medical home	e. (CSHC	N Survey)	
		Annual	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data			
	2000	2001	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective					53		54
Annual Indicator			52.2		52.2		52.2
Numerator							
Denominator							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisiona	I	Provision	al
		Annual	Objective and Perfo	ormance Data			
	2005	2006	2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	55	56	57		58		59
							antara a
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut		above years. Numer	ator, Denomin	ator and	Annual Indi	cators a
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for futu	ure year data.	or public insurance to	pay for the se	ervices th		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for futu ose families have ac	ure year data.  dequate private and/	or public insurance to	p pay for the se	ervices th	ney need. (C	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)	not required for future ose families have ac 2000	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to	pay for the se	ervices th		CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective	not required for futures on the form of th	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfo	p pay for the se	ervices th	ney need. (C	CSHCN 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perforation  2002  55.9	p pay for the se	ervices th	ney need. (C	67 54.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	not required for futures on the form of th	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfo	p pay for the se	ervices th	ney need. (C	67 54.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for futures on the form of th	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perforation  2002  55.9	o pay for the se	ervices th	2004	67 54.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	not required for futures on the form of th	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perforation 2002  55.9	p pay for the se	ervices th	ney need. (C	67 54.5 103
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for futures on the form of th	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perforation 2002  55.9	p pay for the secondary primance Data 2003	56 66.3	2004	67 54.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for futures on the form of th	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to  Objective and Perform  2002  55.9	p pay for the secondary primance Data 2003	56 66.3	2004	67 54.5 103
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for future ose families have accepted and accepted ac	Annual  Annual	or public insurance to  Objective and Perform  2002  55.9  Objective and Perform	p pay for the secondary primance Data  2003  Final  primance Data 2008	56 66.3	2004 Final	67 54.5 103 189
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future ose families have accepted and the second	Annual 2001  Annual 2006  57  The Objectives for the	Objective and Perfo	p pay for the secondary primance Data 2003  Final primance Data 2008	56 66.3	2004 Final	67 54.5 103 189

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the c	ommunity-based se	rvice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (CSH)
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perform	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				71	72
Annual Indicator			70.1	71.6	48.9
Numerator					64
Denominator					131
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perform	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	50	51	52	53	54
Annual Indicator		- Obi 4i 4 4b -	-h Ni	t Dit	A
Numerator	Please fill in only tr	ie Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators at
	not required for futi	ure year data.			
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.			
	not required for full	ure year data.			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for futi		on to all aspects of add	ult life (CSHCN Surve	ev)
	not required for futi	sary to make transition	·	•	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	sary to make transition	on to all aspects of add Objective and Perfore 2002	•	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	the services necess	sary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	•
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	sary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	the services necess	sary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003	<b>2004</b> 7
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	the services necess	sary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003	2004 7 10.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	sary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	2003 6 5.3	2004 7 10.5 4 38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	the services necess	sary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003	2004 7 10.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	sary to make transiti Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	2003 6 5.3 Final	2004 7 10.5 4 38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	sary to make transiti Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	2003 6 5.3 Final	2004 7 10.5 4 38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess 2000	sary to make transition Annual 2001 Annual	Objective and Performance 2002 5.8 Objective and Performance	### Page 12	2004 7 10.5 4 38 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 2000 2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Performance  5.8  Objective and Performance 2007	Final  2008  15	2004 7 10.5 4 38 Final 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess  2000  2005  11	Annual 2001  Annual 2006  11  The Objectives for the	Objective and Performance  5.8  Objective and Performance 2007	Final  2008  15	2004 7 10.5 4 38 Final 2009

Numerator   S6.9   81.0   77.2   66.5   77	Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ago	e appropriate immur	nizations a	gainst Mea	sles, Mumps, Rubella	a, Polio, Diphtheria,	Γetanus, Pe	rtussis,
Annual Performance Objective 99 99 99 91 77.  Annual Indicator 86.9 81.0 77.2 68.5 77.  Numerator 6.659 6.014 3,412 2,932 3,2  Denominator 7.667 7,426 4,417 4,283 4,1  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	daemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.				N. C.	<b>D</b> .1-		
Annual Performance Objective		2000	2001	Annual C			2004	
Numerator   S6.59   S1.0   T7.2   S2.93   T7.2   S2.93   S2.0	Annual Performance Objective		2001	90			2004	77.
Numerator   6,659   6,014   3,412   2,932   3,2	•			81.0	77.2	68.5		78.
Denominator   7,667   7,426   4,417   4,283   4,17   1,283   4,17   1,283				6.014		2.932		3.26
Provisional					·			4,13
Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  Reformance Measure # 08  The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Indicator Surface Objective # 08  Annual Performance Objective # 08  The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective # 08  Annual Performance Objective # 08  Annual Performance Objective # 08  Annual Indicator # 08  Annual Performance Objective # 08  Annual Indicator # 08  Annual		7,007		7,120	.,		Provisio	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  Reformance Measure # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.    Annual Performance Objective   40   39   38   37								
Annual Performance Objective				Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator not required for future year data.  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.    Annual Objective and Performance Data   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004			2006		2007	2008	2009	
Numerator Denominator  Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators not required for future year data.  Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators not required for future year data.  Please fill in only the Objective sort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators.  Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators.  Annual Objective and Performance Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004  Annual Performance Objective 40 39 38 37  Annual Indicator 35.3 31.7 31.6 29.9 25  Numerator 2,022 1,804 1,787 1,691 1,69  Denominator 57,239 56,926 56,604 56,643 56,543  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Provisional Provisional  Annual Objective and Performance Data  2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	Annual Performance Objective	80		82	84	86		(
Annual Performance Objective   40   39   38   37	Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	ie Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a ata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Ind	dicators
Annual Performance Objective         40         39         38         37           Annual Indicator         35.3         31.7         31.6         29.9         29.9           Numerator         2,022         1,804         1,787         1,691         1,6           Denominator         57,239         56,926         56,604         56,643         56,5           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Provisional         Provisional	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu	ie Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Ind	dicators
Annual Indicator         35.3         31.7         31.6         29.9         29.9           Numerator         2,022         1,804         1,787         1,691         1,6           Denominator         57,239         56,926         56,604         56,643         56,5           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Annual Objective and Performance Data           2005         2006         2007         2008         2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu	ie Objectiv ure year da	ata.			d Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator         2,022         1,804         1,787         1,691         1,6           Denominator         57,239         56,926         56,604         56,643         56,5           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Annual Objective and Performance Data           2005         2006         2007         2008         2009	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required for future	ure yéar da	ata.	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		dicators
Denominator         57,239         56,926         56,604         56,643         56,55           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Annual Objective and Performance Data           2005         2006         2007         2008         2009	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 40	2001	ata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Provisional  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 40	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 38	rmance Data 2003 37		3
Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 40 35.3	2001	Annual C 39 31.7	2002 38 31.6	7mance Data 2003 37 29.9		3 29
2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 40 35.3 2,022	2001	Annual C 39 31.7 1,804	2002 38 31.6 1,787	rmance Data 2003 37 29.9 1,691		3 29 1,67
2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08  the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 40 35.3 2,022	2001	Annual C 39 31.7 1,804	2002 38 31.6 1,787	2003 37 29.9 1,691 56,643	2004	3 29 1,67 56,56
Annual Performance Objective 28 28 27 27	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08  the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 40 35.3 2,022	2001	39 31.7 1,804 56,926	2002 38 31.6 1,787 56,604	7mance Data 2003 37 29.9 1,691 56,643 Provisional	2004	3 29. 1,67 56,56
	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 40 35.3 2,022 57,239	2001	39 31.7 1,804 56,926	2002  38  31.6  1,787  56,604  Dbjective and Perform	7mance Data 2003 37 29.9 1,691 56,643 Provisional	2004 Provisio	3 29 1,67 56,56
Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08  The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 40 35.3 2,022 57,239 2005	2001 2006	Annual C  39  31.7  1,804  56,926  Annual C	2002  38  31.6  1,787  56,604  2007  27	7mance Data 2003  37  29.9  1,691  56,643  Provisional  7mance Data 2008	2004 Provisio 2009	29 1,67 56,56 nal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	manent molar tooth.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		26	27	28	29
Annual Indicator	17.3	24	24.4	14.9	15.0
Numerator				5,012	1,07
Denominator				33,642	7,138
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2005		Objective and Perfor		2000
	<b>2005</b> 30	<b>2006</b> 30	<b>2007</b> 30	<b>2008</b> 30	<b>2009</b>
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for fut	ire vear data.	abovo youro. rtao.a	tor, Doriorimiato. aa	/ IIII dai maioa.c.c
	notor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childr	ren.		
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n		Annual	Objective and Perfor		
he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	2004
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual 2001 10.5	Objective and Perfor 2002	10.4	10.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000	Annual 2001 10.5 8.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 6.0	2003 10.4 6.7	2004 10.:
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 10.7 8.2 46	Annual 2001 10.5 8.2 46	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 6.0	10.4	10.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000	Annual 2001 10.5 8.2 46	0bjective and Perfor 2002 10.5 6.0 34	2003 10.4 6.7	10.
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 10.7 8.2 46	Annual 2001 10.5 8.2 46	0bjective and Perfor 2002 10.5 6.0 34	10.4 6.7 38	10. 7. 4
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 10.7 8.2 46	Annual 2001 10.5 8.2 46 562,923	0bjective and Perfor 2002 10.5 6.0 34 564,983	10.4 6.7 38 565,382 Provisional	10. 7. 4 565,38
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 10.7 8.2 46 561,744	Annual 2001  10.5  8.2  46  562,923  Annual	0bjective and Perfor 2002 10.5 6.0 34 564,983	10.4 6.7 38 565,382 Provisional	10. 7. 4 565,38 Provisional
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 10.7 8.2 46 561,744 2005	Annual 2001  10.5  8.2  46  562,923  Annual 2006	0bjective and Perfor 2002	10.4 6.7 38 565,382 Provisional	10. 7. 4 565,38 Provisional
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	2000 10.7 8.2 46 561,744 2005	Annual 2001  10.5  8.2  46  562,923  Annual	0bjective and Perfor 2002	10.4 6.7 38 565,382 Provisional	10. 7. 4 565,38 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 10.7 8.2 46 561,744 2005 7	Annual 2001  10.5  8.2  46  562,923  Annual 2006  7	0bjective and Perfor 2002	10.4 6.7 38 565,382 Provisional mance Data 2008	10. 7. 4 565,38 Provisional
The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by n  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 10.7 8.2 46 561,744 2005	Annual 2001  10.5  8.2  46  562,923  Annual 2006  7	0bjective and Perfor 2002	10.4 6.7 38 565,382 Provisional mance Data 2008	565,3 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			ual Objective and Perfo		
AI.P. of	2000	2001	<b>2002</b> 58 59	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				60	6
Annual Indicator			9.7 61.1	61.1	61.
Numerator		20,4		20,466	20,46
Denominator		34,2	73 33,519	33,519	33,51
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Ann	ual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	62		62 62	62	6
Annual Indicator					
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for	the above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for futu	ure year data.	the above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indicators  2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data.  Anno 2001	ual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for future hospital discharge.	ure year data. Anni 2001	ual Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the screened for hearing before the screened for h	hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5	ure year data. Anni 2001	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 4.8 88.1	rmance Data 2003 70	<b>2004</b> 7
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503	Anni 2001  84 30,7	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 4.8 88.1 97 34,202	rmance Data 2003 70 97.5	<b>2004</b> 7 96
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the screened for hearing before the screened for hearing	not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503  36,419	Anni 2001  84 30,7	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 4.8 88.1 97 34,202	rmance Data 2003  70  97.5  34,174	2004 7 96. 35,05
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503  36,419	Anni 2001  84  30,7 36,3	121 Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 1.8 88.1 97 34,202 01 38,806	70 97.5 34,174 35,049 Provisional	2004 7 96 35,05 36,34
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503  36,419	Ann: 2001  84 30,7 36,3	121 Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 4.8 88.1 97 34,202 01 38,806	70 97.5 34,174 35,049 Provisional	2004 7 96. 35,05 36,34 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503  36,419	Ann. 2001  8.  30,7 36,3  Ann. 2006	12al Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 4.8 88.1 97 34,202 01 38,806 12al Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003  70  97.5  34,174  35,049  Provisional  rmance Data 2008	2004 7 96 35,05 36,34
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503  36,419  2005	Anni 2001  8- 30,7 36,3  Anni 2006	121 Objective and Perform 2002  60 65  4.8 88.1  97 34,202  01 38,806  121 Objective and Perform 2007  97 97.5	rmance Data 2003  70  97.5  34,174  35,049  Provisional  rmance Data 2008  97.5	2004 96 35,05 36,34 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  55  64.5  23,503  36,419  2005	2001  84  30,7  36,3  Anni 2006	12al Objective and Perfo 2002 60 65 4.8 88.1 97 34,202 01 38,806 12al Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003  70  97.5  34,174  35,049  Provisional  rmance Data 2008  97.5	2004 96 35,03 36,34 Provisional

Denominator

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
ercent of children without health insurance.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		12	12	12	1
Annual Indicator	11.6	13.0	13.0	13.0	7.
Numerator	82,000	89,960	90,000	93,990	50,00
Denominator	708,000	692,000	692,000	723,000	676,55
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmanaa Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	7	7	6	6	
Allitual Fellollilatice Objective					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.			Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second control of the co	Please fill in only the not required for future paid by the I 2000	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002 75	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control o	Please fill in only the not required for future paid by the I 2000	Medicaid Program.  Annual Comments  2001  75  92.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 99.9	mance Data 2003 76	<b>2004</b> 79
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the I	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual (  2001  75  92.7  325,543	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 99.9 365,726	7mance Data 2003 76 98.9	2004 79 320,43
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual (  2001  75  92.7  325,543	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 99.9 365,726	76 98.9 361,855	2004 7 79. 320,43
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	wedicaid Program.  Annual (  2001  75  92.7  325,543  351,000	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 99.9 365,726 366,000	76 98.9 361,855 365,811 Provisional	2004 79. 320,43 403,24
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second	Please fill in only the not required for futions re	wedicaid Program.  Annual (2001 75 92.7 325,543 351,000 Annual (2001 ) 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 99.9 365,726 366,000	76 98.9 361,855 365,811 Provisional	2004 79 320,43 403,24 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fution for fution for required for fution for futin	wedicaid Program.  Annual (  2001  75  92.7  325,543  351,000  Annual (  2006	Objective and Perfor 2002  75  99.9  365,726  366,000  Objective and Perfor 2007	76 98.9 361,855 365,811 Provisional	2004 79. 320,43 403,24
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially annual Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fution for fution for required for fution for futin	wedicaid Program.  Annual (2001 75 92.7 325,543 351,000 Annual (2001 ) 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002  75  99.9  365,726  366,000  Objective and Perfor 2007	76 98.9 361,855 365,811 Provisional	2004 7 79. 320,43 403,24 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially annual Indicator  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fution for	wedicaid Program.  Annual ( 2001 75 92.7 325,543 351,000  Annual ( 2006 81	Objective and Perfor 2002  75  99.9  365,726  366,000  Objective and Perfor 2007	76 98.9 361,855 365,811 Provisional  76 98.9 361,855 365,811	2004  79  320,4:  403,2:  Provisional

Denominator

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
		Annua	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1.5	1.	5 1.5	1.5	1.
Annual Indicator	1.6	1.	7 1.7	1.6	1
Numerator	597	62	6 647	586	69
Denominator	37,024	37,19	2 37,496	37,499	37,28
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annua	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1.4	1.	4 1.4	1.3	1
Associate Protein					
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data.	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data.  Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16  rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	<u>Annua</u> 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5	rmance Data 2003 11.5	2004
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annua 2001 11.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2	mance Data 2003 11.5 5.6	2004
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16  e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annua 2001 11. 22	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20	rmance Data 2003  11.5  5.6  11	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 11. 22	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20	7mance Data 2003 11.5 5.6 11 195,362	<b>2004</b> 4 195,36
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 11. 22	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20	rmance Data 2003  11.5  5.6  11	2004
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 11. 11. 2 196,44	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20	7mance Data 2003 11.5 5.6 11 195,362 Provisional	<b>2004</b> 4 195,36
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 11. 11. 2 196,44	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20 0 196,114	7mance Data 2003 11.5 5.6 11 195,362 Provisional	<b>2004</b> 4 195,36
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annua 2001 11. 2196,44	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20 0 196,114 al Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003  11.5  5.6  11  195,362  Provisional	2004 4. 195,36 Provisional
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required fill in only the not requ	Annua 2001 11. 2196,44 Annua 2006 4.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20 0 196,114 al Objective and Perfor 2007 5 4.4	rmance Data 2003  11.5  5.6  11  195,362  Provisional  rmance Data 2008  4.3	2004 4 195,38 Provisional 2009
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annua 2001 11. 2196,44 Annua 2006 4.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 11.5 7 10.2 3 20 0 196,114 al Objective and Perfor 2007	rmance Data 2003  11.5  5.6  11  195,362  Provisional  rmance Data 2008  4.3	2004 195,3 Provisional 2009

Denominator

rcent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-	risk deliveries and n	eonates.		St. C. Warren I Brown				
	2000	2001	<u>Annuai (</u>	Objective and Perfo 2002	ormance Da 2003	<u>ta</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	75		75.5	75.5		75.5		67
Annual Indicator			66.4	65.4		64.2		65.
Numerato	420		425	423		376		45
Denominato			640	647		586		69
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,				Provision	nal	Provision	nal
			Annual (	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	67		67	67		66.5		66.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objecti ure year d	una far tha c	above years. Numera			Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only to	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for fut the segment of the se	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for fut required for fut reginning in the first to 2000	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for fut eginning in the first to 2000	rimester.	ves for the a ata.	Objective and Perfo	ermance Da	inator and		8
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fut required for fut reginning in the first to 2000	rimester.	ves for the a ata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ermance Da	ta 80.5		80.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut reginning in the first to 2000  80  78.4	rimester.	Annual C  80.5  78.2	Objective and Perfo 2002 80.5	ermance Da	ta 80.5 79.9		8 80. 29,82
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fut required for fut reginning in the first to 2000  80  78.4  29,153	rimester.	Annual C  80.5  78.2  29,271	Objective and Perfo 2002 80.5 78.2 29,327	ermance Da	80.5 79.9 29,953 37,499		8 80. 29,82 37,28
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Ident of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut required for fut reginning in the first to 2000  80  78.4  29,153	rimester.	Annual ( 80.5 78.2 29,271 37,420	2002 80.5 78.2 29,327 37,496	ermance Da 2003	80.5 79.9 29,953 37,499	2004	8 80. 29,82 37,28
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Ident of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut required for fut reginning in the first to 2000  80  78.4  29,153	rimester.	Annual ( 80.5 78.2 29,271 37,420	Objective and Perfo 2002 80.5 78.2 29,327	ermance Da 2003	80.5 79.9 29,953 37,499	2004	8 80. 29,82 37,28

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 21					
he percent of Arkansas high school students who have engaged in s	exual intercourse.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		55		55	
	NA			51.9	51.9
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmanaa Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	55				
Annual Indicator					
	Diamentili in antical				
Numerator	Flease III III Only II	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22	not required for fut	ure yéar data.	,		Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22	not required for fut	ure year data. ARKids First child he	alth insurance progran	n.	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22	not required for fut	ure year data. ARKids First child he	,	n.	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22	not required for future poverty enrolled in A	ure year data.  ARKids First child he  Annual  2001	alth insurance progran	n. r <u>mance Data</u>	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22 ne percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective	not required for future poverty enrolled in A	ure year data.  ARKids First child he  Annual  2001	alth insurance progran  Objective and Perfor  2002	n. rmance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22 ne percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	poverty enrolled in A	ARKids First child he Annual 2001 15	alth insurance progran  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3	n. rmance Data 2003	2004 1 24.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22 ne percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 15 67,036	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467	n.  mance Data 2003  24  17.3	2004 1: 24. 84,87
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22 the percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000  15  17.8  67,036  377,000	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467	n.  mance Data 2003  24  17.3  65,789	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22  he percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  15  17.8  67,036  377,000	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467	n.  mance Data 2003  24  17.3  65,789  381,000	2004 1: 24. 84,87: 348,25
he percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  15  17.8  67,036  377,000	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467  366,000  Objective and Perfor	n.  rmance Data 2003  24  17.3  65,789  381,000  Provisional	2004 24. 84,873 348,253 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22 ne percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15 17.8 67,036 377,000	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467  366,000  Objective and Perfor  2007	n.  2003  24  17.3  65,789  381,000  Provisional  2008	2004 1: 24. 84,87: 348,25 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective  Annual Provisional or Final?	2000 15 17.8 67,036 377,000	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467  366,000  Objective and Perfor  2007	n.  rmance Data 2003  24  17.3  65,789  381,000  Provisional	2004 24. 84,873 348,253 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 22 The percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 15 17.8 67,036 377,000 2005	ARKids First child he	alth insurance program  Objective and Perfor  2002  24  22.3  81,467  366,000  Objective and Perfor  2007	n.  2003  24  17.3  65,789  381,000  Provisional  2008  20	2004 11: 24. 84,87: 348,25: Provisional 2009

The percent of pregnant women counseled for HIV testing.					
.,,,.,,.,,.,,.,,.,,.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objecti	<b>/e</b> 75	75	75	75	7:
	or				
	or 25,570	24,128	24,344	24,074	
	or 35,266			34,826	
Is the Data Provisional or Fina		01,270	01,071	Provisional	
is the sata i fevisional of i me				. To thorona.	
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objecti	ve	75	75	75	8
Annual Indicat	or				
	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	<b>Annual Indicators</b>
Numerat	or not required for fut	ture year date			
Denominat	or	ture year data.			
Denominat	or	ure year data.			
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27	or .		ealth Statistic weight f	or height growth char	ts.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27	or .	National Center for H	ealth Statistic weight f	5 5	ts.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27	or .	National Center for H	· ·	5 5	ts.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27	5th percentile on the	National Center for H Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 centage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9 Annual Performance Objecti	5th percentile on the	National Center for H Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27  Incentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objectic	5th percentile on the 2000	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 reentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat	5th percentile on the  2000  ye 7  or 8.6	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003 7 10.8	10.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 reentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat	5th percentile on the  2000  re	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	7 10.8 8,060	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27  Incentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the Standard Performance Objection  Annual Performance Objection  Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	5th percentile on the  2000  re	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	7 10.8 8,060 74,630	10. 8,06 74,63
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 reentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat  Numerat  Denominat	5th percentile on the  2000  re	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	7 10.8 8,060 74,630 Final	10. 8,06 74,63
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27  Incentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the Standard Performance Objection  Annual Performance Objection  Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	5th percentile on the  2000  re	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002  7 10.2	7 10.8 8,060 74,630 Final	10. 8,06 74,63
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 recentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat  Numerat  Denominat	2000  ve 7  or 8.6  or 7,380  or 85,817	National Center for H Annual 2001 7 8.8	Objective and Perfor 2002  7 10.2  Objective and Perfor	7 10.8 8,060 74,630 Final	10. 8,06 74,63 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 ercentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat  Numerat  Denominat  Is the Data Provisional or Fina	5th percentile on the  2000  7e	National Center for H	Objective and Perfor 2002  7 10.2  Objective and Perfor 2007 5	7 10.8 8,060 74,630 Final 7 2008 5	2004 10 8,0 74,6 Provisional 2009
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 27 ercentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 9  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat  Numerat  Denominat  Is the Data Provisional or Fina  Annual Performance Objecti  Annual Indicat	5th percentile on the  2000  7e	National Center for H	Objective and Perfor 2002  7 10.2 Objective and Perfor 2007	7 10.8 8,060 74,630 Final 7 2008 5	2004 10 8,0 74,6 Provisional 2009

			716 HIGH CITIC	Transition Serv		ANUMUM Per		THE ADDA	anility to
the percent of 14 to 15 year olds on Children's Med to adult life.	110ai 00i vi000 (C	J) WIIO 0		llansiuon soi.	UGS HAVO HO.	Jeu Impiere	liibii mie.	Wicugo and	ability
	3000			nnual Objectiv			<u>a</u>		
Annual Performance Ob	2000 ojective		2001	<b>2002</b> 13	14	2003	6	2004	7
	<u>-</u>			15.0	5.3		8.5		7.9
Annual Inc				145	41		57		7.9
	nerator			966	768		669		38
Denon Is the Data Provisional or	ninator · Final?			900	100	Final	005	Final	30
	I liiui i					1 11101		IIII	
			<u><b>A</b></u> ı	nnual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2005		2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Ob Annual Inc	-	8		9	10		11		12
Num Denon	not require		e year data.	or the above ye	iaio. Humore.	.UI, DOI.C	lator and	Allina	baioio .
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of		edical Ser	vices (CMS)	Parent Satisfa	ction Survey t	hat CMS se	rvice coor	rdination tea	ams tole
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29		edical Ser	. ,		•			rdination tea	ams told
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of			. ,	Parent Satisfannual Objective 2002	•			rdination tea	ams tole
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of	on the Children's M		<u>A</u> ı	nnual Objectiv	•	mance Data			ams told
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of other services available to them.	on the Children's M 2000 Djective		<u>A</u> ı	nnual Objectiv 2002	e and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of other services available to them.  Annual Performance Ob	on the Children's M  2000  Djective  dicator		2001	nnual Objectiv 2002 51	re and Perfor	mance Data	<b>a</b> 54		57
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of other services available to them.  Annual Performance Ob Annual Inc.	on the Children's M  2000  Djective  dicator	37	2001	50.9	se and Perfor 51 54.7	mance Data	<b>a</b> 54 48.3		57 51.9 28
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of other services available to them.  Annual Performance Ob Annual Inc.	on the Children's M  2000  Djective  dicator  nerator  minator	37	2001	502	51 54.7 420	mance Data	54 48.3 323		51.: 51.:
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of other services available to them.  Annual Performance Ob Annual Inc. Num Denon	on the Children's M  2000  Djective  dicator  nerator  minator	37	2001	502	51 54.7 420 768	mance Data 2003	54 48.3 323 669	2004	57 51.9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 29 the percent of parents responding to the question of other services available to them.  Annual Performance Ob Annual Inc. Num Denon	on the Children's M  2000  Djective  dicator  nerator  minator	37	2001	502	51 54.7 420	mance Data	54 48.3 323	2004	

Numerator
Numera

**Annual Indicator** 

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 30					
he percent of public school students overweight greater than 95th pe	rcentile.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				11	1
Annual Indicator			22.0	22.0	22.
Numerator			60,953	60,953	60,95
Denominator			276,783	276,783	276,78
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Final
			Objective and Perfor		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9	7	5	4	
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	e Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31	not required for futu chools.	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so	not required for futuchools.	Annual (	Objective and Perfore 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 he percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective	not required for future chools.	Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 the percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 11 17.7	2004
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	2002 17.7 49,016	mance Data 2003 11 17.7 49,016	2004 1 17. 59,50
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003  11  17.7  49,016  276,783	2004 17. 59,50 345,88
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	2002 17.7 49,016	mance Data 2003 11 17.7 49,016	2004 17 59,50
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	2002 17.7 49,016 276,783	mance Data 2003  11  17.7  49,016  276,783  Provisional	2004 17 59,50 345,88
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	2002 17.7 49,016	mance Data 2003  11  17.7  49,016  276,783  Provisional	2004 17. 59,50 345,88
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	not required for future chools.	Annual (	2002  17.7  49,016  276,783  Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  11  17.7  49,016  276,783  Provisional	2004 17 59,50 345,88 Final
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future chools.  2000  2005	Annual ( 2006  7	2002  17.7  49,016  276,783  20bjective and Perfore 2007	mance Data 2003  11  17.7  49,016  276,783  Provisional  mance Data 2008  4	2004 17 59,50 345,88 Final
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 31 The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public so Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future chools.  2000  2005	Annual ( 2006  7	2002  17.7  49,016  276,783  Objective and Performance 2007	mance Data 2003  11  17.7  49,016  276,783  Provisional  mance Data 2008  4	2004 17 59,50 345,89 Final

e percent of women smoking during pregnancy.					
		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		15	15	15	15
Annual Indicator		19.5	20.4	20.3	20.3
Numerator		6,690	7,003	7,069	7,069
Denominator		34,273	34,371	34,825	34,825
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	13	11	11	10	
Annual Indicator				or, Denominator and	

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

ADH Office of Oral Health: Data are based on a random sample (7138 or 21%) of 33642 Arkansas public school third grade students screened for sealants during the 2003-2004 school years. Of the 7138 students screened 15% (14.9%) had sealants;

NPM 02 CSHCN staff responsible for this information has changed causing concern about the data and methods of gathering data. With the reorganization involving the merger of Health and Human Services, a more consistent source of data is expected in future submissions.

NPM 04: CSHCN survey bears this data out with 56.4% of respondents in CSHCN survey saying they pay for care or were unable to pay.

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2004 indicates: 99.4% of live births received newborn screenings for the four mandated genetic diseases (phenylketonuria, congenital hypothyroidism, galactosemia, hemoglobinopathies), and 98% of those found to have diseases received treatment. Act 1931 of 2005, to provide testing for cystic fibrosis, if funds are available. A letter was initiated to inform parents when unsatisfactory specimens were received and a when a repeat newborn screening is needed.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

I. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

The data for 2004 is in keeping with data from 2002. We are unable to explain the data as it appears for 2003 and, since it returned to approximately the same level as two years previous, it appears that the 2003 data may be an aberration.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

The data reported for 2004 is from the Needs Assessment survey responses. The response level indicates a return to approximately the same level as reported in 2002. In 2003 a substantial increase was reported that we are unable to explain. The future years' objectives have been reviewed and modified based on this apparent aberration.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

The Needs Assessment did not adequately address this issue and must be revised in future years. The information we have that relates to this issue is from public forums held around the state for individuals with disabilities of all ages and their families. There were 12 meetings held with 131 individuals in attendance. 64 individuals (some who attended in each of the meetings) indicated a need for a more easily accessible service system and better training for staff that have contact with the public.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

64.9 is the weighted immunization rate.

RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW

Not all private providers may be submitting data to the immunization registry, which is the source of this data.

We will improve the data, by identifying the number of private providers participating in the registry and compare that with the number of providers participating in the ARkids (Arkansas's State Health Insurance Program for Children).

Promote participation in the immunization registry by the private providers through the monthly immunization newsletter.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

 $^{\star}$  The 2003 population estimate was calculated by taking 75% of the 2003 female population 14-17 years.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Used 2003 population estimates to calculate the 2004 rate

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Information based on survey. Numerator and denominator are not available.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

ADH Office of Oral Health: Data are based on a random sample (7138 or 21%) of 33642 Arkansas public school third grade students screened for sealants during the 2003-2004 school years.

RESPONSES TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW

The sample size for the 2003-2004 years was much larger compared to the other years and hence the change.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

RESPONSE TO REVIEW OF 2005 APPLICATION:

There was a significant decrease in the motor vehicle death rate for children aged 14 and under between 2001-2002. Several events have occured during this timeframe to explain the gap. The Arkansas Child Passenger Protection Act was strengthened in 2001 to include all children up to the age of 15. This new act required that children under 6 years of age or under 60 lbs must be restrained in an appropriate child safety seat. From the age of 6 years or over 60 lbs up to the age of 15, a seat belt would be sufficient. This has strengthened the previous law which only protected children up to 4 years of age or 40 lbs.

The Highway Safety Office through the Arkansas State Police and local law enforcement conducted several "Click It or Ticket" enforcement programs from 2001-2002 to encourage seat belt use.

Additionally 7 child passenger safety certification trainings sponsored by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration were conducted throughout the state during that year with over 100 new technicians certified. Because it is provisional data, the increase is not statistically significant.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

used 2003 population estimates to calculate rate

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 are not yet available

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

59.7% of Arkansas moms attempted breastfeeding in 2001 - 20466/34273

27.5% of those who initiated breastfeeding continued for one month or less. 5633/20466 8.5% of those who initiated breastfeeding continued for less than one week. 1730/20466

Arkansas PRAMS: 59.7% of moms at least attempted breastfeeding in 2001. That does not necessarily mean they were still breastfeeding at the time of hospital discharge. The question is worded as follows:

 $\dot{\text{Did}}$  you ever breastfeed or pump breast milk to feed your new baby after delivery? No Yes

2002 and 2003 data are not yet available.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 2003 data: The number of forms received from hospitals (35049) is the denominator. The numerator is the number of infants (reported on the forms) receiving hearing screens (34174).

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY 2004 data: The number of forms received from hospitals (36,348) is the denominator. The numerator is the number of infants (reported on the forms) receiving hearing screens (35,059).

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 information unvailable. Available with next current population survey.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW

2001 data are estimates from the Arkansas Center for Health Improvement (ACHI) AR Household Survey of Health Insurance Coverage.

As of June 2004 the number ARKids First 'B' Eligibles was 65,789.

The 2001 Arkansas Household Survey of Health Insurance Coverage was a telephone survey over a 6-month period (2/27/01–8/27/01) that yielded 2,572 household interviews, collecting data regarding 6,596 individuals in Arkansas that provided new state-level and regional-level estimates of the insured and uninsured adults and children in Arkansas. Questions were also included in the survey to accurately classify households according to federally or state-supported insurance programs. Household income and assets determine eligibility for Arkansas' Medicaid program, including ARKids First, ConnectCare, and Medicaid-eligible Medicare beneficiaries.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data are estimates. The data source is the Population Division of the US Census Bureau February 25, 2005.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW.

The data has been corrected.

The numerator is 361,855 of eligible children who have received services.

The denominator is 365,811 of children who are potentially eligible.

Thus the number of eligible kids who have received services continues to be reassuring. The definitions of these numbers as specified in this application have been attended to as closely as possible. Having said that, it is important to note that ADH staff have no detailed access to the numerical information and can not offer further explanation of the observed trends. The MCH staff regards this as an opportunity to develop a better partnership with DHS around data sharing. Our need has less to do with technical competence, than developing better partnering behavior between our two large institutions. Led by Senior Staff members, ADH and DHS have a coordinating committee that is aware of these interests. The new Project ANGELS, described elsewhere in this application has generated some very specific data collaboration between our agencies. That good will can be applied to other data issues, including this one.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Used 2003 population estimates to calculate 2004 rate

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for calendar years.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Used 2003 population estimates to calculate 2004 rate

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW

ANGELS is moving slowly and it is not anticipated that it will progress to have an affect soon.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Different data source from Form 18, HSCI 05C.

Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #20

Field Name: SM20 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

40. Section Number: State Performance Measure #21

Field Name: SM21 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

YRBS is not conducted for 2002.

41. Section Number: State Performance Measure #21

Field Name: SM21 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The YRBS reports the unweighted percent as 51.9 using 696 that answered "yes" as the numerator and 696 answering "yes," plus the 646 answering "no" as the denominator. 76 did not answer the question. 46 of the 93 sampled schools participated and 1351 of the 1593 students surveyed returned a usable questionnaire giving an overall response rate of 42%. It appears that our school response rate is much lower than it was in '97 (65%). This is the only year a response rate can be found since the CDC has changed their YRBS website. One can't get the same data anymore.

Arkansas

**Total Female Male** 

Year

2001 55.5 (±6.2) 53.3 (±6.8) 57.8 (±6.3)

1999 55.9 (±5.1) 56.6 (±5.6) 55.3 (±5.8) 1997 59.7 (±5.0) 57.5 (±4.8) 61.9 (±5.8)

1995 61.5 (±3.9) 59.6 (±4.4) 63.4 (±4.4)

The state plans to make the greatest impact in promoting abstinence by reducing adolescent and premarital sexual behavior, to be competitive for the bonus award for the 50 states, and to meet the required mandates of the Welfare Reform Plan. The RFP gives priority for funding to those applicants that have the most sound and reasonable application, and that serve populations with high numbers of out-of-wedlock and teen births. Priority in funding will be given to communities which rate in the top 20 of Arkansas' 75 counties in the annual average number of births to unwed teens during the past five years.

Despite the data concerns discussed above, the observed decline in the percent of adolescents having sex is still somewhat encouraging. A great deal of effort has been made in Arkansas to address adolescent sexuality. The Abstinence program is only one. Pubic awareness efforts have been numerous over the last 15 years including the Arkansas Time Bomb (early 90s), and in resurgence of interest in STD issues such as HIV/AIDS and a recent syphilis outbreak in the northwest part of our state. In addition, the Hometown Health Improvement effort has galvanized many local groups to attend many health issues. Prevalent among those interests is the topic of adolescent pregnancy.

Teen pregnancy is a phenomenon of social and cultural variation that tends to wax and wane over a period of decades. There is no simple explanation of the observed

42. Section Number: State Performance Measure #21

Field Name: SM21 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

55.5% percent of Arkansas High School students have engaged in sexual intercourse.

Data Sources and Data Issues - 2001 YRBS data. The 2003 YRBS is currently being processed.

43. Section Number: State Performance Measure #22

Field Name: SM22 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The number on this week's (06-16-04) report of ARKids First 'B' Eligibles is 65,789.

**RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION** 

The data comes from Arkansas' Department of Human Services. Arkansas has AR Kids First plans A and B that have different eligibility criteria for enrollment.

As much as ADH staff appreciate access to this data through the DHS web page, it provides little discernment of the detailed analysis behind the numbers. As such we have little ability to comment intelligently on the observed trends. This issue, like the data for Performance Measure 14, represents a challenge and an opportunity to enhance the partnership between ADH and DHS on data sharing. We have several committees addressing those issues. We do not perceive a need for technical assistance, as our technical data management capabilities are quite good. We just need time to further develop the partnership. It is happening.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #22

Field Name: SM22 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Numerator:

Source: DHS Medicaid Program

Definition: Includes old AR Kids First or (SOBRA) kids and now includes SCHIP children, children of state employees, and children of families who qualify for Medicaid, but still choose AR Kids B.

45. Section Number: State Performance Measure #24

Field Name: SM24 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data has not be processed.

46. Section Number: State Performance Measure #24

Field Name: SM24 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 and 2003 data are not available.

For 2001, The survey indicated that 70.4 % of respondent women received counseling. Applying that percent (70.4) to the weighted number of births for the year (34273) gives a number counseled of 24,128, apparent declines both in number and percent since 2000.

For 2001, the survey showed that 74.3% of moms stated that they received a test for HIV at some time during their most recent pregnancy or delivery - apparent declines in both number and percent since 2000.

### RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW

The declines in number of tested and counseled mothers are programatically significant. ADH communicates through a weekly newsletter with all doctors. We will use that avenue of communication to encourage OBGYNs and FPOBs to assure both counseling and testing. It is probable, however, that because HIV testing has become so automatic, patients may be less aware they have experienced either counseling or testing.

47. Section Number: State Performance Measure #24

Field Name: SM24 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data is not available, entered 2003 data

48. Section Number: State Performance Measure #27

Field Name: SM27 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerator and denominator are not available at this time.

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #27

Field Name: SM27 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data are not available. Data is from the PEDNSS report provided by CDC. Last year, we got it in June but we usually don't get it until around September.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #28

Field Name: SM28 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #30

Field Name: SM30 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

SPM 30 was new in 2003. Data for previous years is not available.

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #30

Field Name: SM30 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Arkansas Center for Health Improvement 2004

The data come from 730 of Arkansas' 1,139 schools. Out of 316 school districts, 265 have data included in this report. These students are distributed across 67 of 75

### **RESPONSE TO 2005 APPLICATION REVIEW**

2005/Healthy Arkansas Initiative

Governor Mike Huckabee launched the Healthy Arkansas Initiative on May 4, 2004. The Initiative will use existing resources and funding sources to provide information and create incentives to convince Arkansans to give up unhealthy habits.

The Healthy Arkansas Initiative targets state employees, Medicaid recipients and other Arkansans. The Governor has charged both Dr. Fay Boozman, director of the Department of Health (ADH) and Kurt Knickrehm, director of the Department of Human Services (DHS) to achieve specific goals among the targeted populations.

ADH has been directed to achieve specific goals by January 2007 to increase rates of physical activity among children and adults, reduce overweight and obesity rates among children and adults and reduce rates of smoking among adolescents and adults.

DHS was directed to develop a pilot project to attempt to improve health behaviors among the approximate 600,000 Arkansans who receive Medicaid benefits. Input on how healthy habits should be rewarded has been requested from a number of groups, including the Arkansas State Employees Association.

Another component of Healthy Arkansas focuses on worksite wellness. On April 29, the Governor invited business leaders statewide to attend a meeting to discuss fiscal impacts of changing unhealthy behaviors including:

Financial and lifestyle incentives offered to employees to live a healthier life;

Determining why employees are overweight, not exercising or smoking;

Defining the true cost to our state due to unhealthy lifestyle; and

Determining the health problems that can be changed by behavior change

Governor Huckabee has asked for involvement from the business community on the front-end to make these issues a priority. He stressed that creating a healthier workforce is a fiscal issue and will result in fewer sick days, increased productivity and lower health insurance costs. The Governor is seeking concepts and best practices that impact healthcare that have worked for one company to share with a larger audience.

The Healthy Arkansas Initiative will be a focus of Governor Huckabee's administration during the next 32 months. The Initiative creates an ongoing effort to change Arkansas from one of the unhealthiest states in the country to one of the healthiest.//2005//

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #30

Field Name: SM30 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data will be available in September, 2005.

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #31

Field Name: SM31 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

SPM 31 was new in 2003. Previous year data are not available.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #31

Field Name: SM31 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Arkansas Center for Health Improvement 2004

The data come from 730 of Arkansas' 1,139 schools. Out of 316 school districts, 265 have data included in this report. These students are distributed across 67 of 75

Arkansas counties.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #31

Field Name: SM31 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Statewide results are based on the valid data reports from 345,892 individual students, 1,041 schools, and 300 school districts.

**57. Section Number:** State Performance Measure #32

Field Name: SM32 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2003 data are used as estimates for 2004. 2004 PRAMS data is not available, and will be provided in 2006.

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AR

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01 The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.						
		Annua	al Objective and Per	formance Data		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	200	4
Annual Performance Objective	8.8	8.	6 8.	6	8.5	8
Annual Indicator	8.4	8.	3 8.	4	8.6	8
Numerator	318	30	7 31	3 ;	323	3
Denominator	37,791	36,98	2 37,44	8 37,7	761	37,2
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Prov	/isional
		Annua	al Objective and Per	formance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	200	9
Annual Performance Objective	8.4	8.	3 8.	3	8.3	
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Num	erator, Denominator	and Annua	al Indicator
Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02	not required for fut	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Num	erator, Denominator	and Annua	al Indicator
Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02	not required for fut	ure year data.	e above years. Num		and Annua	al Indicator
TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality in	not required for fut rate.	ure year data.  Annua 2001	al Objective and Per 2002	formance Data 2003	and Annua	4
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 02	rate.  2000  1.6	Annua 2001	al Objective and Per 2002	formance Data 2003		4
Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02  e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the black infant	rate.  2000  1.6  2.0	Annua 2001  1.	al Objective and Per 2002 6 1.	formance Data 2003	200	4
Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02  e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the black infant	rate.  2000  1.6	Annua 2001  1.	al Objective and Per 2002 6 1.	formance Data 2003 6	<b>200</b> -	4
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the black infa	rate.  2000  1.6  2.0	Annua 2001  1. 2. 14.	al Objective and Per 2002  6 1. 1 1. 4 13.	formance Data 2003 6 9 8	200 1.5 1.8	<b>4</b>
Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02  e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the black infant	rate.  2000  1.6  2.0  13.8	Annua 2001  1. 2. 14.	al Objective and Per 2002  6 1. 1 1. 4 13.	formance Data 2003 6 9 8	2000 1.5 1.8 3.4 7.6	<b>4</b>
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 02  ne ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the black infan	rate.  2000  1.6  2.0  13.8	Annua 2001  1. 2. 14. 6.	al Objective and Per 2002 6 1. 1 1. 4 13.	formance Data 2003 6 9 8 1 2 Provisional	2000 1.5 1.8 3.4 7.6	1 1 13
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the state of the white infant mortality of the state of the white infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the white infant mortality of the ratio of the white infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the white infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the white infant mortality of the black infant mortality of the white infant mortality of the white infant mortality of the black infant mortality of the white infant mortality of the	rate.  2000  1.6  2.0  13.8	Annua 2001  1. 2. 14. 6.	al Objective and Per 2002  6 1. 1 1. 4 13.	formance Data 2003 6 9 8 1 2 Provisional	2000 1.5 1.8 3.4 7.6	4 1 1 13 6 visional
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 02  ne ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the black infan	not required for fut rate.  2000  1.6  2.0  13.8  7	Annua 2001 1. 2. 14. 6. Annua 2006	al Objective and Per 2002 6 1.4 13.8 7.6 al Objective and Per 2007	formance Data 2003 6 9 8 1 2 Provisional formance Data 2008	200. 1.5 1.8 3.4 7.6	4 1 1 13 6 visional
Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of the ratio of the ratio of the ratio of the black infant mortality of the ratio of	not required for fut rate.  2000  1.6  2.0  13.8  7	Annua 2001 1. 2. 14. 6. Annua 2006	al Objective and Per 2002 6 1.4 13.8 7.6 al Objective and Per 2007	formance Data 2003 6 9 8 1 2 Provisional formance Data 2008	2000 1.5 1.8 3.4 7.6 Prov	4 13 visional

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
The Heditalal Mortality rate per 1,000 live billing.		Annus	I Objective and Perfor	rmanco Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	5.2	5.2	2 5.2	5.2	5.
Annual Indicator		4.0	5.1	5.3	4.
	185	170	) 190	199	17
Denominator				37.761	37,21
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annua	l Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.1		55	4.9	4.
Annual Indicator					
Allitai ildicator					
	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for th	e above vears. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for fut	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for fut	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data. Annua	I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	2004
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	2000	ure year data.  Annua 2001	I Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 3.
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000	Annua 2001 3.	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3	mance Data 2003 3.3	2004 3
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 3.5 3.5	Annua 2001 3.  131	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123	2003 3.3 3.3	2004 3 3
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 3.5 3.5 133 37,791	Annua 2001 3.  131	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123	2003 3.3 3.3 124	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 3.5 3.5 133 37,791	Annua 2001 3.  131	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123	3.3 3.3 3.3 124 37,761	2004 3. 3. 12 37,21
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 3.5 3.5 133 37,791	Annua 2001 3. 3. 36,983	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123 2 37,448	3.3 3.3 124 37,761 Provisional	2004 3. 3. 12 37,21 Provisional
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 3.5 3.5 133 37,791	Annua 2001 3 36,982 Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123 2 37,448  I Objective and Perfor 2007	3.3 3.3 3.7 124 37,761 Provisional	2004 3. 3. 12 37,21 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	2000 3.5 3.5 133 37,791 2005	Annua 2001 3 36,982 Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002  1 3.4  7 3.3  7 123  2 37,448  1 Objective and Perfor 2007	3.3 3.3 3.7 124 37,761 Provisional	2004 3. 3. 12 37,21 Provisional
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 3.5 3.5 133 37,791 2005 3.2	Annua 2001  3.4  3.6,983  Annua 2006  3.1	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123 2 37,448  I Objective and Perfor 2007	3.3 3.3 3.7 124 37,761 Provisional  Tmance Data 2008 3.1	2004 3 3 12 37,21 Provisional 2009
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  3.5  3.5  133  37,791  2005  3.2  Please fill in only the not required for futh	Annua 2001  3.4  3.6,983  Annua 2006  3.1	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 4 3.4 7 3.3 7 123 2 37,448  1 Objective and Perfor 2007 2 3.2	3.3 3.3 3.7 124 37,761 Provisional  Tmance Data 2008 3.1	2004 3 1 37,2 Provisional 2009

ne perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		9.8	9.8	9.7	9.
Annual Indicato	9.6	10.0	10.5	9.7	9.
Numerato	366	374	395	367	36
Denominato	38,010	37,229	37,687	37,978	37,45
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Provisional	Provisional
		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9
Numerato	not required for fut	ure vear data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	ioi, peroninator and	Allinual illulicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Aimai mulcators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut	Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
DENOMINATION  OUTCOME MEASURE # 06  The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000	Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 32	<b>2004</b> 31.
DENOMINATION  OUTCOME MEASURE # 06  The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 33 33.7 177	Annual (2001 33 29.5 155	Objective and Perfor 2002 33 29.4	2003 32 26.4	2004 3 31.
CUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2000 33 33.7 177 525,679	Annual (2001 33 29.5 155	Objective and Perfor 2002 33 29.4	2003 32 26.4 139	
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  De	2000 2000 33 33.7 177 525,679	Annual (2001 33 29.5 155 525,421	Objective and Perfor 2002  33  29.4  155  527,452	2003  32  26.4  139  527,381  Provisional	2004 31. 16 527,38
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  De	2000 2000 33 33.7 177 525,679	Annual (2001 33 29.5 155 525,421	Objective and Perfor 2002 33 29.4	2003  32  26.4  139  527,381  Provisional	2004 31. 16 527,38
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  De	2000 33 33.7 177 525,679	Annual (2001 33 29.5 155 525,421	Objective and Perfor 2002  33  29.4  155  527,452  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  32  26.4  139  527,381  Provisional	2004 3 31. 16 527,38 Provisional

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Calendar year data for 2003 indicate an increase in infant mortality rate for Arkansas. This increase is all due to neonatal mortality among births other than African American births. Post neonatality and fetal mortality for both races are unaffected or improved. This change is consistent with increases in low birthweight rates in Arkansas which reflect similar changes nationally. Increasing LBW rates in AR have been putting "upward pressure" on neonatal mortality rates for many years now in both the state and the nation. AR is now working even harder on this issue through the development of the ANGELS program which is a statewide effort to provide obstetrical consultation and referral for high risk pregnant women.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Calendar year data are provided.

Improvement in the ratio between black and white infant mortality appear to be improved for Arkansas. This "improvement" unfortunately hides an increase in the white neonatal mortality rate, rather than reflecting a decline in the African American rates. These trends are not inconsistent with national trends. The observed increase in low birthweight rates in AR and nationally are under study at this time. While fertility treatments are more common and are resulting in more multiple births, the LBW increase is not completely explainable by this rationale. Also, the increase in prematurity can not be ascribed to the problem of adolescent pregnancy, since both adolescent birth rates and the percent of all AR births that are to adolescents have declined. One remaining explanation is that as women defer pregnancy into their later reproductive years, they increase their risk for LBW. We have yet to assess that hypothesis with care.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Calendar year data are provided.

The increase is neonatal mortality rate is clear and consistent. A trend toward increased LBW rates has preceded this increase for many years. We are studying this phenomenon in AR, and will be very aware of national investigations of this issue, as many other states are experiencing the same phenomenon.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2003
Field Note:

Calendar year data are provided.

While CY data are provisional, the Arkansas Center for Health Statistics is confident that this number will not change substantially in final statistics. There is no statistically significant difference between the 2002 and 2003 data as collected so far, although the 2001 to 2002 change is significant. It appears that the 2001 postneonatal rate was slightly but unusually elevated compared to earlier and later data. However, the fact that the 2002 and 2003 rates have not changed, means that the increase in neonatal mortality rate is therefore observable in the overall infant mortality rate.

Arkansas' post neonatal mortality rate has, until now, been of more concern to public health than its neonatal mortality rate, as the PNM rate has always been much elevated compared to the nation's, while the NMR

has been close to the national rate. We will not lose sight of this concern as we turn to dealing with the low birthweight problem.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Despite the increase in neonatal mortality rate, the perinatal mortality rate has gratifyingly declined. Thus the fetal mortality rate has improved. Arkansas' new Project ANGELS is dedicated to improving perinatal outcomes by earlier consultation and referral for prenatal medical complications and risk factors.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

No 2003 population estimates available 06-24-04.

The decline in child death rates is consistent with declines in deaths due to motor vehicle accidents. A number of legal and programmatic activities have perhaps contributed to this gratifying result. They are explained in other performance measure responses.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: AR 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 1. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 1. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 1. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 1. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1. Total Score: 7. Rating Key 0. Not Met 1. Partially Met 2. Endostly Met 3. Completely Met

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: Question1

Row Name: #1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Family member participation has increased during this year with the Parent Advisory Council providing training under the Project DOCC program and training to Title V CSHCN staff. Parents have attended and participated in Title V CSHCN staff meetings in various regions of the state. The parents also mentor other parents within their region of the state.

2. Section Number: Main Field Name: Question2

Row Name: #2. Financial support (...) is offered for parent activities or parent groups.

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Members of the Parent Advisory Group are reimbursed for travel to quarterly meetings and provided housing and meals for that weekend.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question3

Row Name: #3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Parent Advisory Council members reviewed the Family Needs survey and made very good recommendations which were used to revise the survey. They also made program recommendations that are being reviewed. Some of their recommendations cannot be accommodated due to state hiring limitations; however, others will be considered.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question4

Row Name: #4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers.

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Parent Advisory Council members have provided training to physicians and staff at Arkansas Children's Hospital for two Grand Rounds presentations. Training was provided by parents to a statewide meeting of Title V CSHCN staff. Parents have also attended regional staff meetings and participated in training there.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question5

Row Name: #5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Title V CSHCN have one employee that has been hired to serve as Parent Consultant with the program. Additional hiring of parents is not possible at this time due to state hiring caps in place. With large caseloads it is unlikely that we can hire additional Parent Consultants before hiring additional caseworkers. Six of our caseworkers are the parents of CSHCN and this fact was considered during the hiring process.

6. Section Number: Main Field Name: Question6

Row Name: #6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The current year has seen additional African American membership in the Parent Advisory Council.

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: AR FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. To reduce the percentage of women smoking during pregnancy.
- 2. To reduce the percentage of high school students engaging in sexual intercourse.
- 3. To increase the percentage of children 0-18 and below 200% of poverty who are enrolled in the AR Kids First Program.
- 4. To increase the percentage of pregnant women counseled for HIV testing
- 5. To reduce the percentage of children who are overweight among WIC children 0-5 years of age.
- 6. To increase the percentage of 14 and 15 year olds on CMS who state that CMS transition services have helped improve their knowledge/ability to transition to adult life.
- 7. To increase the percentage of parents responding on the CMS Parent Satisfaction Survey that CMS service coordination teams told them about other services available to them
- 8. To reduce the percentage of public school students who are overweight (greater than the 95th percentile of weight for height).
- 9. To reduce the percentage of public school students at risk for overweight (85th to 95th percentile of weight for height).
- 10. New: To increase the percentage of Family Planning clients with BMI greater than the 85th percentile who receive educational materials in the Family Planning clinics, and are referred to community sources of counseling and support.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: AR APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance for a prenatal services assessment.	For improvement in the clinical services, patient flow, also client and colleague satisfaction.	An independent consultant firm would be utilized to provide this operational analysis and assessment.
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance on the best techniques to use in forming a MCH partnership among multiple agencies	To increase the quantity and quality of public input and participation in issues around MCH.	The UAMS College of Public Health through student preceptorships and integration projects.
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

### **FORM 16** STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AR

21 SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of Arkansas high school students who have engaged in sexual intercourse.

STATUS:

GOAL Reduce the percentage of students grades 9 through 12 who have engaged in sexual intercourse.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of youth grades nine through twelve engaging in sexual intercourse. (Weighted data from the YRBS)

Total number of youth grades nine through twelve enrolled in Arkansas public schools in 1997. (133,446) (Arkansas

Department of Education 1997 Enrollment Report.)

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 1997 YRBS data. A total of 63 schools involving 2,296 students were selected to participate in the YRBS. Seventy-six

percent of those schools selected agreed to participate. Of the students in the sample, 87% or 1,991 were in school the

day the survey was given and returned usable questionnaires which could be analyzed.

SIGNIFICANCE

Data from the 1997 Youth Risk Behavior Survey suggests high rates of early sexual involvement for Arkansas children. Results included sixty percent of Arkansas high school students reported that they had sexual intercourse. Twelve percent reported that they had sexual intercourse for the first time prior to age 13. Twenty-five percent reported having sexual intercourse with four or more people during their young life.

SP # 22

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of poverty enrolled in ARKids First child health insurance

program.

STATUS: Active

**GOAL** To increase the percentage of children enrolled in ARKids First child health insurance program.

**DEFINITION** 

The number of children 0 through 18 and below 200 percent of poverty with ARKids First health insurance.

Denominator

The total number of children below 200 percent of poverty under age19.

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

ARKids First, ADH Management System and MCH Information Line statistics.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of pregnant women counseled for HIV testing.

Active STATUS:

Increase the number of pregnant women counseled and ultimately screened for HIV. GOAL

During FY 99 the baseline will be derived from the number of women who respond on the PRAMS survey that they were **DEFINITION** 

counseled by their prenatal provider about the need to test their blood for HIV (question 16.1). Baseline data concerning whether they were screened will also be established, using PRAMS (question 59).

Denominator: Units: Text:

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

There is currently no statewide data available on HIV counseling or screening. Baseline data will be obtained in FY 1999, **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

from PRAMS survey responses. These will have a confidence interval of +-3. Based on these figures, statewide data will be

interpolated. After determining a statewide baseline, a realistic goal will be established.

In calendar year 1997, Arkansas had 361 newly reported cases of HIV. 95 of these cases were women. Data is not SIGNIFICANCE

... Salested year 1997, Alkalisas had 301 Hewly reported cases of HIV. 95 of these cases were women. Data is not available concerning how many of these women may have been pregnant. However, 22 babies were reported born to HIV/AIDS positive women in 1997.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 95th percentile on the National Center for Health Statistic

weight for height growth charts.

STATUS: A

GOAL To decrease the percentage of low-income children who are overweight.

**DEFINITION** Overweight is defined as the weight for height above the 95th percentile in the National Center for Health Statistics

reference population.

Numerator:

The number of children certified for WIC, age 0 to five, above the 95th percentile on the National Center for Health Statistic

weight for height growth charts.

Denominator:

The total number of children certified for WIC.

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Baseline data source: Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Childhood overweight/obesity, a known precursor to adult obesity, increases the prevalence of obesity-related disorders such as diabetes and heart disease in the population. The causes of childhood overweight/obesity are multifactorial including parental ignorance concerning sound nutritional practices, media promotion of nutritionally harmful products, and decreased incentives and opportunities for physical activity. Given the definition of overweight/obesity in this objective, 5% of healthy children are expected to be above the 95th percentile of weight for height due to normal biological variation. A prevalence of more than 5% for any population subgroup suggests overweight/obesity is a concern of that subgroup.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: To improve the percent of 14 to 15 year olds on Children's Medical Services (CMS) who state that CMS transition services

have helped improve their knowledge and ability to transition into adult life.

STATUS:

GOAL CMS hopes to improve transition services to youth with disabilities on CMS to help them successfully trnasition into

adulthood.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of youth ages 14 to 15 (or their parents) who state on the biennial client satisfaction Survey that CMS transition

services have helped imporve their knowledge and ability to transition into adult life.

Denominator:

The number of 14 to 15 year olds (or their parents) who respond to the biennial CMS client satisfaction survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The biennial CMS Client Sastisfaction Survey is the source of data for this measure. The response rate of the target

population to this survey may be a concern if it is not high enough to be statistically significant.

SIGNIFICANCE This measure should help determine to what degree CMS transiton efforts are successful in actually helping all youth on

CMS to transition into adulthood.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: To imporve the percent of parents responding to the question on the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Parent Satisfaction

Survey that CMS service coordination teams told them about other services available to them.

STATUS: A

GOAL CMS hopes to improve care coordination services to all families on CMS so that they will be able to access all needed

servcies.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of parents who stated on the CMS Parent Satisfaction Survey that CMS service coordination teams had told

them about other services available to them.

Denominator:

The total number of parents responding to the CMS Parent Satisfaction Survey.

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES The biennial CMS Client Satisfaction Survey is the source of data for this measure. The response rate of the target

population to this survey may be a concern if it is not high enough to be statistically significant.

SIGNIFICANCE This measure should help to determine to what degree CMS care coordination services are effective in helping parents of

CSHCN to obtain the services they need.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of public school students overweight greater than 95th percentile.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL Reduce the percentage of overweight children in Arkansas public schools from 22 percent (annual indicator – baseline data

2004) to 15 percent (annual objective).

**DEFINITION**The data come from 730 of Arkansas' 1,139 schools. Out of 316 school districts, 265 have data included in this report.

These students are distributed across 67 of Arkansas 75 counties.

Numerator: 276,783 Denominator: 60,953

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Overweight or obesity in children and adolescents

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Arkansas BMI Task force, a partnership including Local School Districts, Arkansas Center for Health Improvement, Arkansas

Department of Education, Arkansas Department of Health, and UAMS College of Public Health. Baseline BMI Arkansas

Public Schools 2004

SIGNIFICANCE Over 60% of adult Arkansans are either overweight (BMI 25-29.9) or obese (BMI > 30). One in four Arkansas high school

students is either overweight or at risk for being overweight. Overweight children are more likely to develop childhood diabetes, hypertension and lung problems. Overweight school age children are 50% more likely to become obese adults. Overweight adolescents are 70 - 80% more likely to become obese adults. Life expectancy for obese young adults is 5 - 20 years less than normal weight persons. Obese adults have significantly higher rates of diabetes, heart disease, cancer, stroke, hypertension and arthritis. In 2002, the United States spent an estimated 92.6 billion dollars on illnesses

related to obesity.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public schools.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public schools from 18 percent (annual indicator –

baseline data 2004) to 11 percent (annual objective) by 2007.

**DEFINITION**The data come from 730 of Arkansas' 1,139 schools. Out of 316 school districts, 265 have data included in this report.

These students are distributed across 67 of Arkansas 75 counties.

Numerator: 276,783

Denominator: 49,016

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 1

19.3

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arkansas BMI Task Force, a partnership of Local School Districts, Arkansas Center for Health Improvement, Arkansas

Department of Education, Arkansas Department of Health and UAMS College of Public Health.

SIGNIFICANCE

One in four Arkansas high school students is either overweight or at risk for being overweight. Overweight children are more likely to develop childhood diabetes, hypertension and lung problems. Overweight school age children are 50% more likely to become obese adults. Overweight adolescents are 70 - 80% more likely to become obese adults. Life expectancy for

obese young adults is 5 - 20 years less than normal weight persons.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women smoking during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the percent of Arkansas women smoking during the last three months of pregnancy as reported by Arkansas

PRAMS.

**DEFINITION** 19.7% of Arkansas moms reported that they smoked during the last three months of pregnancy.

Numerator:

N= 6690 Live births in 2001, PRAMS mothers reporting.

Denominator:

D= 34,273 Total number of live births in 2001 statistically weighted. The denominator, represents all mothers experiencing a

live birth in 2001. This number is calculated by the sampling program that weights the data set.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

27-6,16-10a, and 16-10b

27-6. Increase smoking cessation during pregnancy. Target: 30 percent. Baseline: 14 percent of females aged 18 to 49 years stopped smoking during the first trimester of their pregnancy in 1998. Target setting method: Better than the best. Data source: National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), CDC, NCHS. 16-10. Reduce low birth weight (a-LBW) and very low

birth weight (b-VLBW). Target and baseline: a - LBW 5% b - VLBW .9%

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arkansas Center for Heatlh Statistics, PRAMS 2001

SIGNIFICANCE

Smoking during pregnancy can result in miscarriages, premature delivery, and sudden infant death syndrome. Preterm birth

is associated with a number of modifiable risk factors, including the use of alcohol, tobacco, or other drugs during

pregnancy. Smoking accounts for 20 to 30 percent of all LBW births in the United States.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AR

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	35.6	33.1	33.5	27.6	27.6
Numerator	647	607	616	513	513
Denominator	181,585	183,163	183,668	185,941	185,941
Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

Is the Data P

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	74.6	82.4	98.6	95.9	63.2
Numerator	18,195	20,559	22,884	20,726	15,932
Denominator	24,402	24,962	23,209	21,617	25,225
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata_	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	79.5	86.2	96.0	60.5	8.0
Numerator	1,228	1,161	2,112	118	66
Denominator	1,545	1,347	2,200	195	823
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	80.5	80.7	80.7	80.8	79.8
Numerator	29,809	30,086	30,142	30,162	29,648
Denominator	37,032	37,282	37,356	37,338	37,132
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

		2000	2004	Annual Indicator Da		2004
		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	Annual Indicator	30.1	35.5	38.0	39.4	41.0
	Numerator	11,868	16,199	20,693	26,134	30,827
	Denominator	39,481	45,608	54,465	66,357	75,151
Is the [	Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

54.5

7,735

14,180

**Annual Indicator** 

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Numerator

Denominator

67.7

8,138

12,020

49.3

6,168

12,500

Final

41.4

5,524

13,352

Final

43.2

7,411

17,155

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

There are concerns about the current data gathering due to changes in staff responsible for this over the past year. It is our hope that with the upcoming reorganization that will combine Health and Human Services in Arkansas, we will have a more consistent source of data for reporting.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data are not yet available

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for calendar years. 2001 and 2002 data are final.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02 Field Name: HSC02

Field Name: HSC Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for State Fiscal Year 2003.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Numerator:

Source: DHS Medicaid Program

Definition: Includes only infants who received an EPSDT screen. This is a change from the numerator definition for 2003 data which documented those who had a service. That information was based on a medical records survey not repeated this year.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is an estimate using the ARKIds First Numbers.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Arkansas has ARKids 1st A (Medicaid) and ARKids 1st B. ARKids B has higher family income eligibility requirements than ARKids A and is available to children who do not have employer-sponsored or group health insurance and have not had insurance for six months. Higher income eligibility.

The number of SCHIP enrollees under age 1 from 10/01/2002 - 9/30/2003 is 195. Of those, 118 had an EPSDT screen for dates of service 10/01/2002

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data are not available.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2003
Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: AR

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	VEAD	DATA GOUDOF	POPULATION			
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Other	9.7	7	8.3	
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Other	7.8	6.8	7.3	
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Other	72.2	87.7	80.4	
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Other	73.8	77.5	75.8	

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: AR

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 6) (Age range 6 to 19) (Age range 5)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	200

### FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AR

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004	200
c) Pregnant Women	2004	

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Field Note:

Source: Linked file of Hospital Discharge Data System to Birth Certificate records

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Linked file of Hospital Discharge Data Syste to Birth Certificate records

Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Linked file of Hospital Discharge Data System to Birth Certificate records

Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: AdequateCare
Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Linked file of Hospital Discharge Data System to Birth Certificate records

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AR

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

#### \*Where:

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AR

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Other:		

### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

#### \*Where:

- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group. 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

#### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AR

	OIAIL				
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	8.6	8.7	8.7	8.9	9.3
Numerator	3,190	3,229	3,246	3,292	3,454
Denominator	37,024	37,192	37,496	37,179	37,285
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Provisional	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	7.2	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.5
Numerator	2,593	2,568	2,607	2,651	2,707
Denominator	36,001	36,076	36,397	36,117	36,114
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Provisional	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<del></del>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		1.7		1.6	1.9
Numerator	597	626	647	580	699
Denominator	37,024	37,192	37,496	37,179	37,285
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1			Provisional	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator		1.4		1.3	1.5
Allitual illulcator		1.7	1.7	1.0	1.0
		401	402	450	520
	488	491 36,076	492 36,397	459 36,117	529 36,114

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

r Data 2003 .3 12.9 .00 73 .11 565,176 Provisional	
2003 .3 12.9 .80 73 .21 565,176	13.3 75 565,382
30 73 21 565,176	75 565,382
21 565,176	565,382
Provisional	Provisional
	·
r Data	
2003	2004
.0 6.7	7.3
38	41
33 565,176	565,382
Provisional	Provisional
2003	<b>2004</b> 40.7
150	160
150 02 393,184	392,978
3	.0 6.7 84 38 83 565,176 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	78.5	76.7	68.1	71.8	71.8
Numerator	441	432	382	406	406
Denominator	r561,744	562,923	561,021	565,176	565,176
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C		145 11 1 04			
e rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among youth age	d 15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	151.2		150.9	150.8	150.8
Numerator	575	587		593	593
Denominator	380,363	384,970	388,892	393,184	393,184
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	·			Provisional	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A					
he rate per 1 000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported.	case of chlamydia				
ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
	<b>2000</b>	25.2	-		
Annual Indicator	<b>2000</b>		<b>2002</b> 24.5	2003	27.9
Annual Indicator	2000 23.1 2,186	25.2	<b>2002</b> 24.5	2003	27.9
Annual Indicator	2000 23.1 2,186 94,477	25.2 2,575	2002 24.5 4,671	2003 26.6 5,075	27.9 2,642
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 23.1 2,186 94,477	25.2 2,575	2002 24.5 4,671	26.6 5,075 190,465	27.9 2,642 94,784
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 23.1 2,186 94,477	25.2 2,575	2002 24.5 4,671	26.6 5,075 190,465 Provisional	27.9 2,642 94,784
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported	2000 23.1 2,186 94,477 case of chlamydia.	25.2 2,575 102,105	2002 24.5 4,671 190,465 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 26.6 5,075 190,465 Provisional	27.9 2,642 94,784 Provisional
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported  Annual Indicator	2000  7 23.1  2,186  94,477  case of chlamydia.  2000  5.7	25.2 2,575 102,105 2001 6.6	24.5 4,671 190,465  Annual Indicator Day 2002 2.5	2003  26.6  5,075  190,465  Provisional  ata 2003  2.6	27.9 2,642 94,784 Provisional
Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported  Annual Indicator	2000 23.1 2,186 94,477 case of chlamydia.	25.2 2,575 102,105 2001 6.6	2002 24.5 4,671 190,465 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 26.6 5,075 190,465 Provisional	27.5 2,64 94,78 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for calendar years. Although the 2003 data are provisional, they will change very little when they become finalized. Low birthweight rates increased again in 2003. This increase occurred among births between 1500 and 2000 grams. The very low birthweight rates did not increase. The middle birthweight percent rose among whites, not African Americans. The middle birthweight rise occurred among singleton births, indicating that increasing proportions of multiple births due to infertility treatments does not explain the whole trend.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for fiscal years.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are for calendar years.

Observed declines in injury rates are consistent with increases in programmatic efforts in Arkansas, and data from sources such as motor vehicle accidents.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data are estimates based on previous year. Hospital Discharge data will be available by September 2005.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data are estimates based on the previous year. Hospital Discharge data will be available September 2005.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data are estimates based on previous year. Hospital Discharge data will be available September 2005.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 and 2003 Chlamydia case rates per 1000 female population data are for females ages 15-24 years of age. Please note that population figures for the year 2002 were used to compute the rates for 2003 case rates.

The apparent increase in chlamydia case identification is of concern. With updating of the population estimates, the 26.6% figure may change. We will follow this development.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 and 2003 Chlamydia case rates per 1000 female population data are for females ages 25-44 years of age. Please note that population figures for the year 2002 were used to compute the rates for 2003 case rates.

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AR

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	38,001	29,066	8,330	202	403			
Children 1 through 4	147,940	113,002	32,230	1,024	1,684			
Children 5 through 9	184,563	140,758	40,246	1,614	1,945			
Children 10 through 14	194,878	148,480	42,791	1,814	1,793			
Children 15 through 19	195,362	149,684	41,861	1,867	1,950			
Children 20 through 24	197,616	153,160	40,006	1,697	2,753			
Children 0 through 24	958,360	734,150	205,464	8,218	10,528	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	35,323	2,678	
Children 1 through 4	138,182	9,758	
Children 5 through 9	173,950	10,613	
Children 10 through 14	186,069	8,809	
Children 15 through 19	187,276	8,086	
Children 20 through 24	186,370	11,246	
Children 0 through 24	907,170	51,190	0

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AR

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	106	56	50	0	0	0		0
Women 15 through 17	1,677	1,109	527	13	4	8		16
Women 18 through 19	3,868	2,750	1,037	21	3	20		37
Women 20 through 34	28,721	22,596	5,097	145	114	339		430
Women 35 or older	2,827	2,312	347	8	39	51		70
Women of all ages	37,199	28,823	7,058	187	160	418	0	553

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	92	14	0
Women 15 through 17	1,489	179	9
Women 18 through 19	3,524	327	17
Women 20 through 34	26,006	2,591	124
Women 35 or older	2,509	303	15
Women of all ages	33,620	3,414	165

#### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: AR

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	301	199	93	3	1	0		5
Children 1 through 4	71	37	32	1	1	0		0
Children 5 through 9	45	36	8	0	1	0		0
Children 10 through 14	51	38	13	0	0	0		0
Children 15 through 19	172	140	31	0	0	1		0
Children 20 through 24	231	174	54	0	0	2		1
Children 0 through 24	871	624	231	4	3	3	0	6

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	281	48	0	
Children 1 through 4	64	7	0	
Children 5 through 9	42	0	0	
Children 10 through 14	47	4	0	
Children 15 through 19	168	4	0	
Children 20 through 24	221	10	0	
Children 0 through 24	823	73	0	

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AR

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	760,744	580,990.0	165,458.0	6,521.0	7,775.0				2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	21.9	17.3	54.2	25.8	21.2	28.4	29.6		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	6.0	34.0	61.0	1.0	1.0			3.0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	371,763	231,905.0	96,968.0	988.0	1,646.0			40,256.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	60,398	44,399.0	11,754.0	108.0	259.0			3,878.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	6,440	4,132.0	1,801.0	13.0	10.0	8.0	435.0	41.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	231,629	137,240.0	86,259.0	695.0	1,390.0			6,045.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	74,630	43,124.0	21,447.0	269.0	665.0	0	0	9,125.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	12,917.0	6,661.0	4,890.0	18.0	66.0	0	0	1,282.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.7	4.1	6.2	5.5	3.9	0	0	0	2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	720,800.0	39,944.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	22.5	27.6	27.5	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	96.0	1.0	1.0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	390,788.0	26,686.0		2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	57,271.0	3,127.0	1.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	6,256.0	184.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	225,607.0	6,022.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	65,505.0	9,125.0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	12,600.0	317.0	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.6	6.8	0	2004

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AR

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	388,553	
Living in urban areas	429,749	
Living in rural areas	330,995	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19		

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AR

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	2,752,629.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.6
100% of poverty	15.8
200% of poverty	38.6

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AR

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	<u></u>
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	9.5
100% of poverty	21.8
200% of poverty	51.6

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

These are 2003 population estimates

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Definition:

In Census.gov, found families by type as follows:

Total families 736063

Families with male householder, no wife 38867 Families with female householder, no husband 122577 Total single householders 161444

\_

Percent "single-parent households" (161444/730063) 21.9

2. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Number:

Definition: "Petitions" for followup by the Juvenile courts. These are equivalent to documented crimes, were these children of an age to be charged with a crime, indicating that an arrest had been made.

3. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Definition: the percent of all students who drop out from grades 9-12 appears in the first column, which is a number of dropouts of all races equal to 6236. The subsequent percentages indicate the proportion of the 6236 students who have dropped out.

4. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Definition:

# family households headed by male # family households headed by female Divided by all family households

for Hispanic/Latino family households

Ethnicity not stated did not appear in the Census.gov tables

so estimated this figure by subtracting all races from total for numerator and denominator numbers and dividing.

. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Data are for 2003

Section Number: Indicator 10 Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimated from census data on metropolitan statistical areas in Arkansas. Estimation by the State Center for Health Statistics.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11\_total
 Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: US Census Bureau 2004 population estimate.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11\_200percent
 Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Estimate:

Census.gov estimates that of 716,000 children 0-19, 370,000 are at or below 200% of poverty.

Calculation: 370,000/716,000 = 51.7

This would over-estimate the percentage of all persons under 200% poverty.

9. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

US Census Poverty tables with special run by Institute for Economic Advancement, UALR.

10. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: US Census Poverty tables

11. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: US Census Health Insurance Data

### NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AR

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of Arkansas high school students who have engaged in sexual intercourse.

GOAL Reduce the percentage of students grades 9 through 12 who have engaged in sexual intercourse.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of youth grades nine through twelve engaging in sexual intercourse. (Weighted data from the YRBS)

Denominator

Total number of youth grades nine through twelve enrolled in Arkansas public schools in 1997. (133,446) (Arkansas

Department of Education 1997 Enrollment Report.)

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES 1997 YRBS data. A total of 63 schools involving 2,296 students were selected to participate in the YRBS. Seventy-six

percent of those schools selected agreed to participate. Of the students in the sample, 87% or 1,991 were in school the

day the survey was given and returned usable questionnaires which could be analyzed.

SIGNIFICANCE

Data from the 1997 Youth Risk Behavior Survey suggests high rates of early sexual involvement for Arkansas children.

Popults included give percent of Arkansas high school students reported that they had sexual interceurse. Twolve percent

Results included sixty percent of Arkansas high school students reported that they had sexual intercourse. Twelve percent reported that they had sexual intercourse for the first time prior to age 13. Twenty-five percent reported having sexual

intercourse with four or more people during their young life.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of children through age 18 and below 200 percent of poverty enrolled in ARKids First child health insurance

program.

GOAL

To increase the percentage of children enrolled in ARKids First child health insurance program.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator

The number of children 0 through 18 and below 200 percent of poverty with ARKids First health insurance.

Denominator

The total number of children below 200 percent of poverty under age19.

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

ARKids First, ADH Management System and MCH Information Line statistics.

SIGNIFICANCE OBJECTIVE

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of pregnant women counseled for HIV testing.

GOAL Increase the number of pregnant women counseled and ultimately screened for HIV.

During FY 99 the baseline will be derived from the number of women who respond on the PRAMS survey that they were **DEFINITION** counseled by their prenatal provider about the need to test their blood for HIV (question 16.1). Baseline data concerning

whether they were screened will also be established, using PRAMS (question 59).

Numerator:

Denominator: Units: Text:

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

There is currently no statewide data available on HIV counseling or screening. Baseline data will be obtained in FY 1999, from PRAMS survey responses. These will have a confidence interval of +-3. Based on these figures, statewide data will be **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

interpolated. After determining a statewide baseline, a realistic goal will be established.

In calendar year 1997, Arkansas had 361 newly reported cases of HIV. 95 of these cases were women. Data is not **SIGNIFICANCE** 

available concerning how many of these women may have been pregnant. However, 22 babies were reported born to

HIV/AIDS positive women in 1997.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percentage of children receiving WIC services who are above the 95th percentile on the National Center for Health Statistic

weight for height growth charts.

GOAL To decrease the percentage of low-income children who are overweight.

**DEFINITION** Overweight is defined as the weight for height above the 95th percentile in the National Center for Health Statistics

reference population.

Numerator:

The number of children certified for WIC, age 0 to five, above the 95th percentile on the National Center for Health Statistic

weight for height growth charts.

Denominator:

The total number of children certified for WIC.

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

Baseline data source: Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System.

Childhood overweight/obesity, a known precursor to adult obesity, increases the prevalence of obesity-related disorders such as diabetes and heart disease in the population. The causes of childhood overweight/obesity are multifactorial including parental ignorance concerning sound nutritional practices, media promotion of nutritionally harmful products, and decreased incentives and opportunities for physical activity. Given the definition of overweight/obesity in this objective, 5% of healthy children are expected to be above the 95th percentile of weight for height due to normal biological variation. A prevalence of more than 5% for any population subgroup suggests overweight/obesity is a concern of that subgroup.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

OBJECTIVE

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

To improve the percent of 14 to 15 year olds on Children's Medical Services (CMS) who state that CMS transition services

have helped improve their knowledge and ability to transition into adult life.

CMS hopes to improve transition services to youth with disabilities on CMS to help them successfully trnasition into adulthood.

**DEFINITION** 

GOAL

Numerator:

The number of youth ages 14 to 15 (or their parents) who state on the biennial client satisfaction Survey that CMS transition services have helped imporve their knowledge and ability to transition into adult life.

The number of 14 to 15 year olds (or their parents) who respond to the biennial CMS client satisfaction survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

The biennial CMS Client Satisfaction Survey is the source of data for this measure. The response rate of the target population to this survey may be a concern if it is not high enough to be statistically significant.

This measure should help determine to what degree CMS transiton efforts are successful in actually helping all youth on

CMS to transition into adulthood.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 12 13 10 11

SIGNIFICANCE

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

To imporve the percent of parents responding to the question on the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Parent Satisfaction

Survey that CMS service coordination teams told them about other services available to them.

CMS hopes to improve care coordination services to all families on CMS so that they will be able to access all needed

servcies.

**DEFINITION** 

GOAL

Numerator:

The number of parents who stated on the CMS Parent Satisfaction Survey that CMS service coordination teams had told them about other services available to them.

anom about out of convicto avail

Denominator:

The total number of parents responding to the CMS Parent Satisfaction Survey.

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

The biennial CMS Client Satisfaction Survey is the source of data for this measure. The response rate of the target population to this survey may be a concern if it is not high enough to be statistically significant.

This measure should help to determine to what degree CMS care coordination services are effective in helping parents of

CSHCN to obtain the services they need.

SIGNIFICANCE

**OBJECTIVE** 

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 61
 61
 61
 61
 61

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of public school students overweight greater than 95th percentile.

Goal Reduce the percentage of overweight children in Arkansas public schools from 22 percent (annual indicator – baseline data

2004) to 15 percent (annual objective).

**DEFINITION**The data come from 730 of Arkansas' 1,139 schools. Out of 316 school districts, 265 have data included in this report.

These students are distributed across 67 of Arkansas 75 counties.

Numerator: 276,783

Denominator: 60,953

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

19-3

Overweight or obesity in children and adolescents

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Arkansas BMI Task force, a partnership including Local School Districts, Arkansas Center for Health Improvement, Arkansas Department of Education, Arkansas Department of Health, and UAMS College of Public Health. Baseline BMI Arkansas

Public Schools 2004

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Over 60% of adult Arkansans are either overweight (BMI 25-29.9) or obese (BMI > 30). One in four Arkansas high school students is either overweight or at risk for being overweight. Overweight children are more likely to develop childhood diabetes, hypertension and lung problems. Overweight school age children are 50% more likely to become obese adults. Overweight adolescents are 70 - 80% more likely to become obese adults. Life expectancy for obese young adults is 5 - 20 years less than normal weight persons. Obese adults have significantly higher rates of diabetes, heart disease, cancer, stroke, hypertension and arthritis. In 2002, the United States spent an estimated 92.6 billion dollars on illnesses

related to obesity.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public schools.

Goal Reduce the percentage of at-risk for overweight children in Arkansas public schools from 18 percent (annual indicator –

baseline data 2004) to 11 percent (annual objective) by 2007.

**DEFINITION**The data come from 730 of Arkansas' 1,139 schools. Out of 316 school districts, 265 have data included in this report.

These students are distributed across 67 of Arkansas 75 counties.

Numerator: 276,783

Denominator: 49,016

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

19.3

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arkansas BMI Task Force, a partnership of Local School Districts, Arkansas Center for Health Improvement, Arkansas

Department of Education, Arkansas Department of Health and UAMS College of Public Health.

SIGNIFICANCE

One in four Arkansas high school students is either overweight or at risk for being overweight. Overweight children are more likely to develop childhood diabetes, hypertension and lung problems. Overweight school age children are 50% more likely to become obese adults. Overweight adolescents are 70 - 80% more likely to become obese adults. Life expectancy for

obese young adults is 5 - 20 years less than normal weight persons.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of women smoking during pregnancy.

Reduce the percent of Arkansas women smoking during the last three months of pregnancy as reported by Arkansas GOAL

19.7% of Arkansas moms reported that they smoked during the last three months of pregnancy. **DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

N= 6690 Live births in 2001, PRAMS mothers reporting.

Denominator:

D= 34,273 Total number of live births in 2001 statistically weighted. The denominator, represents all mothers experiencing a

live birth in 2001. This number is calculated by the sampling program that weights the data set.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 27-6,16-10a, and 16-10b

27-6. Increase smoking cessation during pregnancy. Target: 30 percent. Baseline: 14 percent of females aged 18 to 49 years stopped smoking during the first trimester of their pregnancy in 1998. Target setting method: Better than the best.

Data source: National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), CDC, NCHS. 16-10. Reduce low birth weight (a-LBW) and very low

birth weight (b-VLBW). Target and baseline: a - LBW 5% b - VLBW .9%

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

Arkansas Center for Heatlh Statistics, PRAMS 2001

Smoking during pregnancy can result in miscarriages, premature delivery, and sudden infant death syndrome. Preterm birth

is associated with a number of modifiable risk factors, including the use of alcohol, tobacco, or other drugs during pregnancy. Smoking accounts for 20 to 30 percent of all LBW births in the United States.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

To increase the percentage of Family Planning clients with BMI greater than the 85th percentile who receive educational

materials in the Family Planning clinics, and are referred to community sources.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of DOH female family planning clients with BMIs greater than 85th percentile.

75% of women with BMIs greater than 85th percentile will receive materials and be referred.

Denominator:

Number of DOH female family planning clients.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

19-2. Reduce the proportion of adults who are obese.

In adults, obesity is defined as a BMI of 30 kg/m2 or more; overweight is a BMI of 25 kg/m2 or more. Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

1988-94

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

DOH patient encounter system.

DOH has launched a major effort, through the Healthy Arkansas Initiative, to reduce obesity in the state. The agency sees more than 79,000 Family Planning patients each year. More than half of adults in the United States are estimated to be overweight or obese. The proportion of adolescents from poor households who are overweight or obese is twice that of adolescents from middle- and high-income households. Obesity is especially prevalent among women with lower incomes and is more common among African American and Mexican American women than among white women. Among African Americans, the proportion of women who are obese is 80 percent higher than the proportion of men who are obese. This gender difference also is seen among Mexican American women and men, but the percentage of white, non-Hispanic women and men who are obese is about the same. Overweight and obesity are major contributors to many preventable causes of death. On average, higher body weights are associated with higher death rates. The number of overweight

children, adolescents, and adults has risen over the past four decades.

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
80	85	90	95	99